



**Susan Combs**  
Texas Comptroller  
of Public Accounts


# FAST

Financial Allocation  
Study for Texas 2011

Connecting the Dots:  
School Spending and  
Student Progress

## District Listings

From Abbott to Zephyr, every school district in Texas receives a Financial Allocation Study for Texas (FAST) rating ranging from one to five stars. See how well your district fares in its relative academic progress and spending.




# FAST

## **FINANCIAL ALLOCATION STUDY FOR TEXAS**

### SCHOOL DISTRICT LISTINGS

---

**T**hese are the 2011 Financial Allocation Study for Texas (FAST) school district ratings. The Campus Listings and online FAST tool can be found at [www.FASTexas.org](http://www.FASTexas.org).



FAST WEB REPORTING TOOL

To provide the public and policymakers with an easy and effective way to compare school district and campus academic achievement, expenditures and resource allocation, the Comptroller's office has developed a FAST website at [www.FASTexas.org](http://www.FASTexas.org) that allows visitors to run custom reports on school academic and spending information. Users can run reports, view extensive data sets and download the results.

The FAST web reporting tool allows users to review TEA ratings and statistics as well as the new FAST indicators. It will be updated annually, with all FAST data and results available free of charge. The website also includes a detailed description of the methodologies used in the FAST project.

The Comptroller's Financial Allocation Study for Texas (FAST) examines both academic progress and spending at Texas' school districts and campuses. The following table profiles each Texas school district, with an assigned FAST rating of one to five stars indicating its success in combining cost-effective spending with the achievement of measurable student academic progress. Five stars reflects the strongest relative progress combined with the lowest relative spending.

### READING KEY RESULTS TABLES

The FAST overview tables provide the following information about select school districts and charter school operators:

FAST SCHOOL DISTRICT LISTINGS									
DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	SPENDING INDEX	FAST RATING	
A+ ACADEMY (C)	DALLAS	1,033	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	53	83	72	AVERAGE	★★★★☆	
ABBOTT ISD	HILL	297	RECOGNIZED	73	71	75	AVERAGE	★★★★☆	
ABERNATHY ISD	HALE	808	RECOGNIZED	89	72	87	HIGH	★★★★☆	
ABILENE ISD	TAYLOR	16,851	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	57	52	56	VERY HIGH	★★★★☆	
ACADEMY ISD	BELL	1,073	RECOGNIZED	77	48	67	HIGH	★★★★☆	
ACADEMY OF ACCELERATED LEARNING INC (C)	HARRIS	574	RECOGNIZED	35	21	26	LOW	★★★★☆	
ACADEMY OF CAREERS AND TECHNOLOGIES CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	BEXAR	145	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	VERY HIGH	**	
ACADEMY OF DALLAS (C)	DALLAS	535	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	34	8	13	LOW	★★★★☆	

#### 1 COUNTY —

county in which the district's administrative offices are located;

#### 2 ENROLLMENT —

number of students enrolled in the school district;

#### 3 ACCOUNTABILITY RATING —

TEA rating of academic performance;

#### 4 MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE —

percentile ranking of math progress;

#### 5 READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE —

percentile ranking of reading progress;

#### 6 FAST COMPONENTS —

elements used to determine FAST rating;

#### 7 COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE —

percentile ranking of combined math and reading progress;

#### 8 SPENDING INDEX —

ranking of spending per pupil, adjusted for regional wage differences, relative to the district's fiscal peer group; and

#### 9 FAST RATING —

star rating combining academic progress and relative spending;

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS			
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	= *FAST RATING
A+ ACADEMY (C)	DALLAS	1,033	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	53	83	72 ■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
ABBOTT ISD	HILL	297	RECOGNIZED	73	71	75 ■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
ABERNATHY ISD	HALE	808	RECOGNIZED	89	72	87 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
ABILENE ISD	TAYLOR	16,851	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	57	52	56 ■■■■□	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
ACADEMY ISD	BELL	1,073	RECOGNIZED	77	48	67 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
ACADEMY OF ACCELERATED LEARNING INC (C)	HARRIS	574	RECOGNIZED	35	21	26 ■■■□□	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
ACADEMY OF CAREERS AND TECHNOLOGIES CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	BEXAR	145	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY HIGH	= **
ACADEMY OF DALLAS (C)	DALLAS	535	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	34	8	13 ■■■□□	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
ACCELERATED INTERMEDIATE ACADEMY (C)	HARRIS	454	RECOGNIZED	69	68	71 ■■■■□	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
ADRIAN ISD	OLDHAM	131	RECOGNIZED	43	24	31 ■■■□□	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
AGUA DULCE ISD	NUECES	341	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	15	26	17 ■■■□□	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
ALAMO HEIGHTS ISD	BEXAR	4,736	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	70	87	84 ■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
ALBA-GOLDEN ISD	WOOD	867	RECOGNIZED	61	26	43 ■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
ALBANY ISD	SHACKELFORD	515	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	58	49	55 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
ALDINE ISD	HARRIS	62,532	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	89	68	84 ■■■■□	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
ALEDO ISD	PARKER	4,565	RECOGNIZED	86	83	89 ■■■■□	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
ALICE ISD	JIM WELLS	5,341	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	12	14	10 ■■■□□	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
ALIEF ISD	HARRIS	45,410	RECOGNIZED	78	98	96 ■■■■□	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
ALIEF MONTESSORI COMMUNITY SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	238	RECOGNIZED	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	= **
ALLEN ISD	COLLIN	18,086	RECOGNIZED	92	96	97 ■■■■□	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
ALPHA CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	DALLAS	202	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	LOW	= **
ALPINE ISD	BREWSTER	1,056	RECOGNIZED	64	87	81 ■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
ALTO ISD	CHEROKEE	694	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	80	76	82 ■■■■□	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
ALVARADO ISD	JOHNSON	3,400	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	8	78	33 ■■■□□	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
ALVIN ISD	BRAZORIA	16,591	RECOGNIZED	62	23	41 ■■■■□	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
ALVORD ISD	WISE	719	RECOGNIZED	77	38	60 ■■■■□	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
AMARILLO ISD	POTTER	31,483	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	66	40	55 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
AMBASSADORS PREPARATORY ACADEMY (C)	GALVESTON	181	RECOGNIZED	96	96	98 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
AMERICAN YOUTHWORKS CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	TRAVIS	306	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	= **
AMHERST ISD	LAMB	180	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	32	38	33 ■■■□□	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
AMIGOS POR VIDA-FRIENDS FOR LIFE PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	482	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	96	80	94 ■■■■□	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
ANAHUAC ISD	CHAMBERS	1,286	RECOGNIZED	51	17	30 ■■■□□	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
ANDERSON-SHIRO CISD	GRIMES	686	RECOGNIZED	90	33	69 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
ANDREWS ISD	ANDREWS	3,134	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	71	2	12 ■■■□□	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
ANGLETON ISD	BRAZORIA	6,282	RECOGNIZED	92	58	82 ■■■■□	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
ANNA ISD	COLLIN	2,233	RECOGNIZED	94	66	89 ■■■■□	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
ANSON ISD	JONES	736	RECOGNIZED	83	75	83 ■■■■□	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
ANTHONY ISD	EL PASO	829	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	16	96	67 ■■■■□	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
ANTON ISD	HOCKLEY	270	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	44	35	39 ■■■□□	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
APPLE SPRINGS ISD	TRINITY	193	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	10	44	20 ■■■□□	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■□ 60-79 ■■■■□ 40-59 ■■■■□ 20-39 ■■■■□ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■□

\*\* Insufficient data

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
AQUILLA ISD	HILL	237	RECOGNIZED	35	16	21 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
ARANSAS COUNTY ISD	ARANSAS	3,150	RECOGNIZED	47	41	45 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
ARANSAS PASS ISD	SAN PATRICIO	1,879	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	22	12	12 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
ARCHER CITY ISD	ARCHER	483	RECOGNIZED	28	82	58 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
ARGYLE ISD	DENTON	1,778	RECOGNIZED	79	92	91 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
ARLINGTON CLASSICS ACADEMY (C)	TARRANT	502	EXEMPLARY	78	61	72 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
ARLINGTON ISD	TARRANT	63,385	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	37	50	44 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
ARP ISD	SMITH	887	RECOGNIZED	51	74	64 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
ASPERMONT ISD	STONEWALL	238	RECOGNIZED	54	73	65 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
ATHENS ISD	HENDERSON	3,445	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	32	32	30 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
ATLANTA ISD	CASS	1,810	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	42	26	32 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
AUBREY ISD	DENTON	1,772	RECOGNIZED	86	98	96 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
AUSTIN CAN ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	TRAVIS	357	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	HIGH	=	**
AUSTIN DISCOVERY SCHOOL (C)	TRAVIS	317	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	40	99	93 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
AUSTIN ISD	TRAVIS	84,245	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	68	69	72 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
AUSTWELL-TIVOLI ISD	REFUGIO	158	RECOGNIZED	90	87	93 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
AVALON ISD	ELLIS	324	RECOGNIZED	81	36	61 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
AVERY ISD	RED RIVER	414	RECOGNIZED	79	73	80 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
AVINGER ISD	CASS	129	RECOGNIZED	66	37	53 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
AW BROWN-FELLOWSHIP LEADERSHIP ACADEMY (C)	DALLAS	1,350	RECOGNIZED	67	90	85 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
AXTELL ISD	MCLENNAN	767	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	73	68	73 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
AZLE ISD	TARRANT	5,822	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	19	56	32 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
AZLEWAY CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	SMITH	139	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
BAIRD ISD	CALLAHAN	329	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	41	20	26 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BALLINGER ISD	RUNNELS	1,015	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	6	74	27 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BALMORHEA ISD	REEVES	159	RECOGNIZED	95	80	93 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
BANDERA ISD	BANDERA	2,536	RECOGNIZED	68	24	47 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BANGS ISD	BROWN	1,092	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	24	92	65 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
BANQUETE ISD	NUECES	831	RECOGNIZED	55	44	50 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BARBERS HILL ISD	CHAMBERS	4,096	RECOGNIZED	91	74	88 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BARTLETT ISD	BELL	388	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	43	6	15 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
BASTROP ISD	BASTROP	8,865	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	28	14	17 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BAY AREA CHARTER INC (C)	HARRIS	366	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	11	62	28 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
BAY CITY ISD	MATAGORDA	3,792	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	43	4	11 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BEATRICE MAYES INSTITUTE CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	415	RECOGNIZED	98	97	99 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
BEAUMONT ISD	JEFFERSON	19,505	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	71	60	69 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BECKVILLE ISD	PANOLA	656	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	17	52	30 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BEEVILLE ISD	BEE	3,491	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	3	3	2 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BELLEVUE ISD	CLAY	159	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	22	38	27 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■



DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
BELLS ISD	GRAYSON	787	RECOGNIZED	59	34	47 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
BELLVILLE ISD	AUSTIN	2,160	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	62	33	48 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BELTON ISD	BELL	8,837	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	72	70	74 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BEN BOLT-PALITO BLANCO ISD	JIM WELLS	607	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	50	49	51 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BENAVIDES ISD	DUVAL	408	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	26	56	39 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BENJAMIN ISD	KNOX	86	RECOGNIZED	72	48	63 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BENJI'S SPECIAL EDUCATIONAL ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	496	NOT RATED	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
BEXAR COUNTY ACADEMY (C)	BEXAR	482	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	17	41	25 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BIG SANDY ISD	POLK	505	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	31	31	30 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BIG SANDY ISD	UPSHUR	703	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	43	77	62 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
BIG SPRING ISD	HOWARD	3,869	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	4	10	4 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BIG SPRINGS CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	REAL	124	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
BIRDVILLE ISD	TARRANT	22,803	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	26	70	48 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
BISHOP CISD	NUECES	1,224	RECOGNIZED	64	80	76 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
BLACKWELL CISD	NOLAN	163	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	17	71	40 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BLANCO ISD	BLANCO	966	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	63	63	65 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BLAND ISD	HUNT	591	RECOGNIZED	50	38	45 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
BLANKET ISD	BROWN	227	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	69	26	49 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BLOOMBURG ISD	CASS	282	RECOGNIZED	84	70	81 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BLOOMING GROVE ISD	NAVARRO	830	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	34	54	44 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BLOOMINGTON ISD	VICTORIA	858	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	14	2	2 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
BLUE RIDGE ISD	COLLIN	673	RECOGNIZED	24	43	31 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
BLUFF DALE ISD	ERATH	100	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	39	71	56 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BLUM ISD	HILL	375	RECOGNIZED	25	47	34 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
BOERNE ISD	KENDALL	6,371	RECOGNIZED	88	98	97 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BOLES ISD	HUNT	529	RECOGNIZED	65	44	57 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BOLING ISD	WHARTON	982	RECOGNIZED	42	9	18 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BONHAM ISD	FANNIN	1,952	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	13	59	29 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BOOKER ISD	LIPSCOMB	387	RECOGNIZED	64	89	82 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BORDEN COUNTY ISD	BORDEN	220	EXEMPLARY	73	85	84 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BORGER ISD	HUTCHINSON	2,801	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	16	18	13 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BOSQUEVILLE ISD	MCLENNAN	565	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	67	45	58 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
BOVINA ISD	PARMER	510	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	81	21	52 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BOWIE ISD	MONTAGUE	1,613	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	31	37	32 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BOYD ISD	WISE	1,031	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	12	57	27 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
BOYS RANCH ISD	OLDHAM	289	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	9	51	22 ■■■■■	+	**	=	**
BRACKETT ISD	KINNEY	596	RECOGNIZED	76	87	87 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
BRADY ISD	MCCULLOCH	1,266	RECOGNIZED	41	42	40 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

\*\* Insufficient data

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
BRAZOS ISD	AUSTIN	822	RECOGNIZED	88	84	90 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
BRAZOS RIVER CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	SOMERVELL	157	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	HIGH	=	**
BRAZOS SCHOOL FOR INQUIRY & CREATIVITY (C)	BRAZOS	490	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	42	80	64 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
BRAZOSPORT ISD	BRAZORIA	12,822	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	56	69	64 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
BRECKENRIDGE ISD	STEPHENS	1,579	RECOGNIZED	91	12	54 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
BREMOND ISD	ROBERTSON	437	RECOGNIZED	65	26	46 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★★
BRENHAM ISD	WASHINGTON	4,906	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	85	11	44 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
BRIDGE CITY ISD	ORANGE	2,481	RECOGNIZED	47	56	52 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
BRIDGEPORT ISD	WISE	2,257	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	32	65	48 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
BRIGHT IDEAS CHARTER (C)	WICHITA	197	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	4	49	14 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
BROADDUS ISD	SAN AUGUSTINE	470	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	20	13	13 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
BROCK ISD	PARKER	852	RECOGNIZED	54	37	46 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
BRONTE ISD	COKE	321	RECOGNIZED	76	74	78 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
BROOKELAND ISD	JASPER	424	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	4	83	27 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
BROOKESMITH ISD	BROWN	181	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	18	75	43 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
BROOKS ACADEMY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING (C)	BEXAR	686	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	1	58	2 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
BROOKS COUNTY ISD	BROOKS	1,494	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	30	2	5 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★★
BROWNFIELD ISD	TERRY	1,761	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	18	13	12 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★★
BROWNSBORO ISD	HENDERSON	2,840	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	45	37	41 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★★
BROWNSVILLE ISD	CAMERON	49,080	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	83	82	87 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
BROWNWOOD ISD	BROWN	3,507	RECOGNIZED	48	6	16 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
BRUCEVILLE-EDDY ISD	MCLENNAN	854	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	72	95	91 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
BRYAN ISD	BRAZOS	15,536	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	47	8	18 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★★
BRYSON ISD	JACK	206	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	46	14	25 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
BUCKHOLTS ISD	MILAM	172	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	30	41	34 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
BUENA VISTA ISD	PECOS	102	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	30	88	65 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★★
BUFFALO ISD	LEON	886	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	30	43	36 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
BULLARD ISD	SMITH	1,999	RECOGNIZED	26	33	28 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
BUNA ISD	JASPER	1,478	RECOGNIZED	17	32	21 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
BURKBURNETT ISD	WICHITA	3,595	RECOGNIZED	52	76	67 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★★
BURKEVILLE ISD	NEWTON	311	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	2	9	2 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
BURLESON ISD	JOHNSON	9,846	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	46	32	39 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
BURNET CISD	BURNET	3,348	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	19	53	31 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
BURNHAM WOOD CHARTER SCHOOL DISTRICT (C)	EL PASO	782	RECOGNIZED	84	98	96 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
BURTON ISD	WASHINGTON	339	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	44	67	57 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
BUSHLAND ISD	POTTER	1,218	RECOGNIZED	45	62	55 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★★

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■■

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS			
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	= *FAST RATING
BYERS ISD	CLAY	101	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	1	35	4 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
BYNUM ISD	HILL	233	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	95	87	95 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
CADDO MILLS ISD	HUNT	1,458	RECOGNIZED	48	95	82 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
CALALLEN ISD	NUECES	3,797	RECOGNIZED	84	72	81 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
CALDWELL ISD	BURLESON	1,880	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	50	40	46 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
CALHOUN COUNTY ISD	CALHOUN	4,263	RECOGNIZED	55	29	41 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
CALLISBURG ISD	COOKE	1,232	RECOGNIZED	20	25	20 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
CALVERT ISD	ROBERTSON	159	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	89	26	63 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
CALVIN NELMS CHARTER SCHOOLS (C)	HARRIS	297	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	6	8	4 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
CAMERON ISD	MILAM	1,601	RECOGNIZED	72	73	76 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
CAMPBELL ISD	HUNT	392	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	8	30	13 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
CANADIAN ISD	HEMPHILL	792	RECOGNIZED	46	52	50 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
CANTON ISD	VAN ZANDT	1,982	EXEMPLARY	79	60	73 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
CANUTILLO ISD	EL PASO	5,829	RECOGNIZED	59	76	70 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
CANYON ISD	RANDALL	8,729	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	67	74	73 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
CARLISLE ISD	RUSK	648	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	18	21	17 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
CARRIZO SPRINGS CISD	DIMIT	2,394	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	7	3	3 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
CARROLL ISD	TARRANT	7,723	EXEMPLARY	92	91	95 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
CARROLLTON-FARMERS BRANCH ISD	DALLAS	25,819	RECOGNIZED	70	86	83 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
CARTHAGE ISD	PANOLA	2,767	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	26	5	8 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
CASTLEBERRY ISD	TARRANT	3,590	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	53	78	68 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
CAYUGA ISD	ANDERSON	628	RECOGNIZED	64	65	66 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
CEDAR HILL ISD	DALLAS	8,258	RECOGNIZED	59	89	81 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
CEDARS INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY (C)	TRAVIS	217	RECOGNIZED	85	34	63 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
CELESTE ISD	HUNT	511	RECOGNIZED	44	78	64 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
CELINA ISD	COLLIN	1,901	RECOGNIZED	85	77	85 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
CENTER ISD	SHELBY	2,578	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	77	56	70 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
CENTER POINT ISD	KERR	623	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	9	23	12 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
CENTERVILLE ISD	TRINITY	152	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	10	7	5 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
CENTERVILLE ISD	LEON	727	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	21	20	18 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
CENTRAL HEIGHTS ISD	NACOGDOCHES	861	RECOGNIZED	62	62	63 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
CENTRAL ISD	ANGELINA	1,527	RECOGNIZED	88	44	72 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
CHANNELVIEW ISD	HARRIS	8,628	RECOGNIZED	74	14	40 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
CHANNING ISD	HARTLEY	147	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	21	36	26 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
CHAPEL HILL ACADEMY (C)	TARRANT	268	RECOGNIZED	**	**	**	+	**	= **
CHAPEL HILL ISD	SMITH	3,208	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	73	64	72 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
CHAPEL HILL ISD	TITUS	907	RECOGNIZED	60	79	73 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
CHARLOTTE ISD	ATASCOSA	548	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	8	1	1 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
CHEROKEE ISD	SAN SABA	121	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	10	75	33 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
CHESTER ISD	TYLER	183	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	11	32	17 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

\*\* Insufficient data



DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS			
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	= *FAST RATING
CHICO ISD	WISE	617	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	19	24	18 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★☆☆☆
CHILDREN FIRST ACADEMY OF DALLAS (C)	DALLAS	318	RECOGNIZED	99	99	99 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
CHILDREN FIRST ACADEMY OF HOUSTON (C)	HARRIS	432	RECOGNIZED	99	99	99 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
CHILDRESS ISD	CHILDRESS	1,127	RECOGNIZED	17	7	8 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
CHILICOTHE ISD	HARDEMAN	184	RECOGNIZED	69	37	55 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
CHILTON ISD	FALLS	494	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	84	46	70 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
CHINA SPRING ISD	MCLENNAN	2,284	RECOGNIZED	93	89	94 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
CHIRENO ISD	NACOGDOCHES	349	RECOGNIZED	88	46	74 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
CHISUM ISD	LAMAR	853	RECOGNIZED	41	84	68 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
CHRISTOVAL ISD	TOM GREEN	429	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	59	35	48 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
CISCO ISD	EASTLAND	848	RECOGNIZED	83	93	93 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
CITY CENTER HEALTH CAREERS (C)	BEXAR	67	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	= **
CITY VIEW ISD	WICHITA	937	RECOGNIZED	59	36	49 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
CLARENDON ISD	DONLEY	532	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	14	82	45 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★☆☆☆
CLARKSVILLE ISD	RED RIVER	745	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	31	46	37 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
CLAUDE ISD	ARMSTRONG	321	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	15	82	46 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
CLEAR CREEK ISD	GALVESTON	37,472	RECOGNIZED	88	85	92 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
CLEBURNE ISD	JOHNSON	6,857	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	8	42	17 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★☆☆☆
CLEVELAND ISD	LIBERTY	3,750	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	10	2	2 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★☆☆☆
CLIFTON ISD	BOSQUE	1,140	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	54	95	86 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
CLINT ISD	EL PASO	11,247	RECOGNIZED	79	67	77 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
CLYDE CISD	CALLAHAN	1,443	RECOGNIZED	40	29	32 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
COAHOMA ISD	HOWARD	770	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	14	54	28 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★☆☆☆
COLDSPRING-OAKHURST CISD	SAN JACINTO	1,645	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	7	3	2 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★☆☆☆
COLEMAN ISD	COLEMAN	956	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	31	4	9 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★☆☆☆
COLLEGE STATION ISD	BRAZOS	10,061	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	92	76	90 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
COLLINSVILLE ISD	GRAYSON	543	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	40	40	39 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
COLMESNEIL ISD	TYLER	486	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	47	34	40 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★☆☆☆
COLORADO ISD	MITCHELL	1,052	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	12	7	6 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★☆☆☆
COLUMBIA-BRAZORIA ISD	BRAZORIA	3,070	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	53	28	39 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
COLUMBUS ISD	COLORADO	1,531	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	58	10	26 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
COMAL ISD	COMAL	16,614	RECOGNIZED	49	64	58 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
COMANCHE ISD	COMANCHE	1,209	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	31	83	60 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
COMFORT ISD	KENDALL	1,156	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	57	68	64 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
COMMERCE ISD	HUNT	1,628	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	53	4	14 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★☆☆☆
COMMUNITY ISD	COLLIN	1,622	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	48	25	35 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
COMO-PICKTON CISD	HOPKINS	824	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	32	94	73 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
COMQUEST ACADEMY (C)	HARRIS	75	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	AVERAGE	=	**
COMSTOCK ISD	VAL VERDE	203	RECOGNIZED	55	86	77 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
CONNALLY ISD	MCLENNAN	2,457	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	33	22	25 ■■■□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
CONROE ISD	MONTGOMERY	49,323	RECOGNIZED	83	84	88 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
COOLIDGE ISD	LIMESTONE	287	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	37	19	25 ■■■□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
COOPER ISD	DELTA	851	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	34	10	16 ■■■□□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
COPPELL ISD	DALLAS	9,955	RECOGNIZED	91	93	95 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
COPPERAS COVE ISD	CORYELL	8,234	RECOGNIZED	80	67	78 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
CORPUS CHRISTI ISD	NUECES	38,041	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	27	39	32 ■■■□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★☆☆
CORPUS CHRISTI MONTESSORI SCHOOL (C)	NUECES	169	RECOGNIZED	26	94	70 ■■■■□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
CORRIGAN-CAMDEN ISD	POLK	1,034	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	53	47	51 ■■■□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
CORSICANA ISD	NAVARRO	5,633	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	79	40	63 ■■■■□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
COTTON CENTER ISD	HALE	120	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	14	92	57 ■■■□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
COTULLA ISD	LA SALLE	1,178	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	1	1	1 ■■■□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
COUPLAND ISD	WILLIAMSON	136	RECOGNIZED	46	57	52 ■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
COVINGTON ISD	HILL	298	RECOGNIZED	28	62	44 ■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
CRANDALL ISD	KAUFMAN	2,774	RECOGNIZED	80	72	80 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
CRANE ISD	CRANE	1,006	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	29	10	14 ■■■□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★☆☆
CRANFILLS GAP ISD	BOSQUE	103	RECOGNIZED	94	51	83 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
CRAWFORD ISD	MCLENNAN	601	EXEMPLARY	65	80	77 ■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
CROCKETT COUNTY CONSOLIDATED CSD	CROCKETT	743	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	36	53	45 ■■■■□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
CROCKETT ISD	HOUSTON	1,452	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	38	3	7 ■■■□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
CROSBY ISD	HARRIS	4,997	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	37	37	36 ■■■□□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
CROSBYTON CISD	CROSBY	415	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	64	4	16 ■■■□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★☆☆
CROSS PLAINS ISD	CALLAHAN	377	RECOGNIZED	44	75	61 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
CROSS ROADS ISD	HENDERSON	590	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	51	38	45 ■■■■□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
CROSSTIMBERS ACADEMY (C)	PARKER	149	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	=	**
CROWELL ISD	FOARD	217	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	53	74	66 ■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
CROWLEY ISD	TARRANT	15,063	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	26	64	44 ■■■■□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
CRYSTAL CITY ISD	ZAVALA	1,924	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	28	2	4 ■■■□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★☆☆
CUERO ISD	DEWITT	1,870	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	64	18	36 ■■■□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★☆☆
CULBERSON COUNTY-ALLAMOORE ISD	CULBERSON	487	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	25	45	33 ■■■□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
CUMBERLAND ACADEMY (C)	SMITH	241	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	73	87	86 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
CUMBY ISD	HOPKINS	450	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	6	68	25 ■■■□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
CUSHING ISD	NACOGDOCHES	496	RECOGNIZED	74	61	71 ■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
CYPRESS-FAIRBANKS ISD	HARRIS	103,897	RECOGNIZED	82	91	91 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
D'HANIS ISD	MEDINA	317	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	3	36	9 ■■■□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■□ 40-59 ■■■□□ 20-39 ■■■□□ LESS THAN 20 ■■■□□

\*\* Insufficient data

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
DAINGERFIELD-LONE STAR ISD	MORRIS	1,316	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	23	25	21 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
DALHART ISD	DALLAM	1,665	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	13	8	7 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DALLAS CAN ACADEMY CHARTER (C)	DALLAS	2,123	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	=	**
DALLAS COMMUNITY CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	DALLAS	207	RECOGNIZED	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	=	**
DALLAS COUNTY JUVENILE JUSTICE (C)	DALLAS	497	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY HIGH	=	**
DALLAS ISD	DALLAS	156,728	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	49	29	38 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DAMON ISD	BRAZORIA	168	RECOGNIZED	97	34	84 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
DANBURY ISD	BRAZORIA	773	RECOGNIZED	88	34	68 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
DARROUZETT ISD	LIPSCOMB	131	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	8	50	20 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DAWSON ISD	NAVARRO	456	RECOGNIZED	41	35	37 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DAWSON ISD	DAWSON	167	RECOGNIZED	38	64	50 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DAYTON ISD	LIBERTY	4,889	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	20	16	14 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
DE LEON ISD	COMANCHE	701	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	43	77	62 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DECATUR ISD	WISE	2,965	RECOGNIZED	71	72	75 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
DEER PARK ISD	HARRIS	12,436	RECOGNIZED	77	53	68 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DEKALB ISD	BOWIE	810	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	39	41	39 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DEL VALLE ISD	TRAVIS	10,032	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	54	67	62 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
DELL CITY ISD	HUDSPETH	98	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	3	13	4 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DENISON ISD	GRAYSON	4,483	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	57	93	83 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
DENTON ISD	DENTON	22,557	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	60	91	83 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
DENVER CITY ISD	YOAKUM	1,498	RECOGNIZED	88	84	90 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DESOTO ISD	DALLAS	9,045	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	56	83	74 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
DETROIT ISD	RED RIVER	497	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	4	17	6 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DEVERS ISD	LIBERTY	172	RECOGNIZED	61	91	84 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DEVINE ISD	MEDINA	1,913	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	34	68	51 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
DEW ISD	FREESTONE	149	EXEMPLARY	31	77	56 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DEWEYVILLE ISD	NEWTON	700	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	81	10	39 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
DIBOLL ISD	ANGELINA	1,946	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	65	44	56 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DICKINSON ISD	GALVESTON	8,792	RECOGNIZED	76	21	49 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
DILLEY ISD	FRIO	916	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	38	1	3 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DIME BOX ISD	LEE	178	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	97	51	89 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DIMMITT ISD	CASTRO	1,193	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	40	31	34 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
DIVIDE ISD	KERR	28	EXEMPLARY	**	**	**	+	VERY HIGH	=	**
DODD CITY ISD	FANNIN	318	EXEMPLARY	78	79	82 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
DONNA ISD	HIDALGO	14,870	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	76	7	30 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DOSS CONSOLIDATED CSD	GILLESPIE	20	RECOGNIZED	**	**	**	+	VERY HIGH	=	**
DOUGLASS ISD	NACOGDOCHES	362	EXEMPLARY	70	70	73 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
DR ML GARZA-GONZALEZ CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	NUECES	282	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	=	**

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
DRAW ACADEMY (C)	HARRIS	280	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	HIGH	=	**
DRIPPING SPRINGS ISD	HAYS	4,311	RECOGNIZED	84	96	94 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
DRISCOLL ISD	NUECES	263	RECOGNIZED	70	63	69 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DUBLIN ISD	ERATH	1,282	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	21	16	15 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
DUMAS ISD	MOORE	4,438	RECOGNIZED	61	72	69 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
DUNCANVILLE ISD	DALLAS	12,882	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	29	77	55 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
EAGLE ADVANTAGE SCHOOLS (C)	DALLAS	1,507	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	29	96	76 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
EAGLE MT-SAGINAW ISD	TARRANT	16,089	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	57	90	80 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
EAGLE PASS ISD	MAVERICK	14,352	RECOGNIZED	87	79	88 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
EALES ISD	TRAVIS	7,487	EXEMPLARY	94	99	98 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
EARLY ISD	BROWN	1,256	RECOGNIZED	78	82	84 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
EAST BERNARD ISD	WHARTON	952	RECOGNIZED	62	30	46 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
EAST CENTRAL ISD	BEXAR	9,257	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	19	11	11 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
EAST CHAMBERS ISD	CHAMBERS	1,296	RECOGNIZED	56	47	52 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
EAST FORT WORTH MONTESSORI ACADEMY (C)	TARRANT	306	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	42	70	57 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
EAST TEXAS CHARTER SCHOOLS (C)	GREGG	157	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	=	**
EASTLAND ISD	EASTLAND	1,173	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	51	39	46 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
ECTOR COUNTY ISD	ECTOR	27,114	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	23	15	15 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
ECTOR ISD	FANNIN	251	RECOGNIZED	26	15	18 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
EDCOUCH-ELSA ISD	HIDALGO	5,400	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	22	8	10 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
EDEN CISD	CONCHO	266	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	3	86	28 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
EDEN PARK ACADEMY (C)	TRAVIS	188	EXEMPLARY	98	96	98 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
EDGEWOOD ISD	BEXAR	12,292	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	9	5	4 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
EDGEWOOD ISD	VAN ZANDT	938	RECOGNIZED	45	88	73 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
EDINBURG CISD	HIDALGO	31,910	RECOGNIZED	78	85	87 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
EDNA ISD	JACKSON	1,459	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	35	28	29 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
EDUCATION CENTER INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY (C)	DALLAS	197	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	1	27	2 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
EHRHART SCHOOL (C)	JEFFERSON	214	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	44	32	38 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
EL CAMPO ISD	WHARTON	3,465	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	31	19	22 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
EL PASO ACADEMY (C)	EL PASO	462	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	LOW	=	**
EL PASO ISD	EL PASO	63,011	RECOGNIZED	88	67	82 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
EL PASO SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE (C)	EL PASO	456	N/A	**	**	**	+	LOW	=	**
ELECTRA ISD	WICHITA	510	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	8	76	33 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
ELGIN ISD	BASTROP	3,956	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	28	41	33 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
ELKHART ISD	ANDERSON	1,354	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	38	72	56 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
ELYSIAN FIELDS ISD	HARRISON	1,010	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	49	72	62 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
ENCINO SCHOOL (C)	BROOKS	40	RECOGNIZED	48	57	54 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
ENNIS ISD	ELLIS	5,828	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	30	9	14 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■■

\*\* Insufficient data

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
ERA ISD	COOKE	436	RECOGNIZED	57	85	77 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
ERATH EXCELS ACADEMY INC (C)	ERATH	158	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	HIGH	=	**
ETOILE ISD	NACOGDOCHES	123	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	11	29	15 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
EULA ISD	CALLAHAN	382	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	63	64	65 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
EUSTACE ISD	HENDERSON	1,460	RECOGNIZED	57	79	71 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
EVADALE ISD	JASPER	451	RECOGNIZED	14	84	47 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
EVANT ISD	CORYELL	240	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	43	29	35 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
EVERMAN ISD	TARRANT	5,046	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	35	61	48 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
EVOLUTION ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	DALLAS	379	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	=	**
EXCEL ACADEMY (C)	HARRIS	529	NOT RATED	**	**	**	+	VERY HIGH	=	**
EXCELSIOR ISD	SHELBY	116	EXEMPLARY	49	38	44 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
EZZELL ISD	LAVACA	59	RECOGNIZED	82	41	66 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
FABENS ISD	EL PASO	2,487	RECOGNIZED	96	25	73 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
FAIRFIELD ISD	FREESTONE	1,811	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	86	84	89 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
FAITH FAMILY ACADEMY OF OAK CLIFF (C)	DALLAS	1,262	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	AVERAGE	=	**
FALLS CITY ISD	KARNES	329	EXEMPLARY	97	95	98 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
FANNINDEL ISD	DELTA	196	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	87	4	30 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
FARMERSVILLE ISD	COLLIN	1,455	RECOGNIZED	51	49	51 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
FARWELL ISD	PARMER	538	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	39	55	47 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
FAYETTEVILLE ISD	FAYETTE	222	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	71	31	53 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
FERRIS ISD	ELLIS	2,422	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	33	14	19 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
FLATONIA ISD	FAYETTE	554	RECOGNIZED	87	91	93 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
FLORENCE ISD	WILLIAMSON	1,017	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	57	8	21 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
FLORESVILLE ISD	WILSON	3,782	RECOGNIZED	70	73	75 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
FLOUR BLUFF ISD	NUECES	5,440	RECOGNIZED	47	51	50 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
FLOYDADA ISD	FLOYD	879	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	84	4	27 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
FOCUS LEARNING ACADEMY (C)	DALLAS	552	RECOGNIZED	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	=	**
FOLLETT ISD	LIPSCOMB	173	RECOGNIZED	94	30	74 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
FORESTBURG ISD	MONTAGUE	204	RECOGNIZED	84	65	79 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
FORNEY ISD	KAUFMAN	7,795	RECOGNIZED	60	93	86 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
FORSAN ISD	HOWARD	699	RECOGNIZED	44	91	76 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
FORT BEND ISD	FORT BEND	69,066	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	86	90	92 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
FORT DAVIS ISD	JEFF DAVIS	329	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	28	75	52 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
FORT ELLIOTT CISD	WHEELER	147	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	22	66	41 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
FORT HANCOCK ISD	HUDSPETH	513	RECOGNIZED	43	3	8 ■■■■■■	+	**	=	**
FORT SAM HOUSTON ISD	BEXAR	1,471	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	46	97	87 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
FORT STOCKTON ISD	PECOS	2,365	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	13	5	6 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
FORT WORTH ACADEMY OF FINE ARTS (C)	TARRANT	417	RECOGNIZED	15	93	59 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
FORT WORTH CAN ACADEMY (C)	TARRANT	712	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	=	**

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■■



DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
FORT WORTH ISD	TARRANT	80,103	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	32	36	32 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
FRANKLIN ISD	ROBERTSON	1,048	RECOGNIZED	83	84	88 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
FRANKSTON ISD	ANDERSON	763	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	34	37	34 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
FREDERICKSBURG ISD	GILLESPIE	2,931	RECOGNIZED	56	78	71 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
FREER ISD	DUVAL	840	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	25	3	6 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
FRENSHIP ISD	LUBBOCK	7,294	RECOGNIZED	87	73	85 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
FRIENDSWOOD ISD	GALVESTON	5,942	EXEMPLARY	91	89	94 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
FRIONA ISD	PARMER	1,212	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	49	58	55 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
FRISCO ISD	COLLIN	33,757	EXEMPLARY	96	98	98 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
FROST ISD	NAVARRO	371	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	66	10	29 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
FRUIT OF EXCELLENCE (C)	TRAVIS	27	N/A	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
FRUITVALE ISD	VAN ZANDT	421	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	10	12	8 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GABRIEL TAFOLLA ACADEMY (C)	UVALDE	108	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	11	45	21 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GAINESVILLE ISD	COOKE	2,621	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	13	14	10 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GALENA PARK ISD	HARRIS	21,409	RECOGNIZED	96	78	93 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
GALVESTON ISD	GALVESTON	6,342	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	47	20	30 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GANADO ISD	JACKSON	649	RECOGNIZED	62	56	60 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
GARLAND ISD	DALLAS	57,654	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	63	90	82 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
GARNER ISD	PARKER	202	RECOGNIZED	54	40	48 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
GARRISON ISD	NACOGDOCHES	671	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	81	33	60 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
GARY ISD	PANOLA	384	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	38	64	50 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
GATESVILLE ISD	CORYELL	2,804	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	30	42	34 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
GATEWAY (STUDENT ALTERNATIVE PROGRAM INC) (C)	WEBB	515	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	HIGH	=	**
GATEWAY CHARTER ACADEMY (C)	DALLAS	797	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	31	5	10 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
GAUSE ISD	MILAM	156	RECOGNIZED	93	34	74 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
GEORGE GERVIN ACADEMY (C)	BEXAR	779	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	=	**
GEORGE I SANCHEZ CHARTER (C)	HARRIS	687	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	HIGH	=	**
GEORGE I SANCHEZ CHARTER HS SAN ANTONIO BRANCH (C)	BEXAR	166	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	LOW	=	**
GEORGE WEST ISD	LIVE OAK	1,118	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	13	28	16 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GEORGETOWN ISD	WILLIAMSON	10,396	RECOGNIZED	83	56	74 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
GHOLSON ISD	MCLENNAN	154	RECOGNIZED	85	68	80 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
GIDDINGS ISD	LEE	1,901	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	50	28	38 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GILMER ISD	UPSHUR	2,350	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	58	51	57 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GIRLS & BOYS PREP ACADEMY (C)	HARRIS	739	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	45	96	85 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
GLADEWATER ISD	GREGG	2,050	RECOGNIZED	76	17	44 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
GLASSCOCK COUNTY ISD	GLASSCOCK	274	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	93	67	88 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
GLEN ROSE ISD	SOMERVELL	1,651	RECOGNIZED	78	34	59 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GODLEY ISD	JOHNSON	1,553	RECOGNIZED	40	18	24 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

\*\* Insufficient data

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
GOLD BURG ISD	MONTAGUE	115	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	58	37	47 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GOLDEN RULE CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	DALLAS	755	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	9	17	10 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
GOLDTHWAITE ISD	MILLS	576	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	80	14	43 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
GOLIAD ISD	GOLIAD	1,325	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	88	28	62 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GONZALES ISD	GONZALES	2,513	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	83	69	80 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GOODRICH ISD	POLK	262	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	72	46	61 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GOOSE CREEK CISD	HARRIS	20,819	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	75	40	60 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
GORDON ISD	PALO PINTO	204	RECOGNIZED	6	60	21 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GORMAN ISD	EASTLAND	344	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	13	42	23 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GRADY ISD	MARTIN	206	RECOGNIZED	98	68	94 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
GRAFORD ISD	PALO PINTO	330	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	29	51	39 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
GRAHAM ISD	YOUNG	2,548	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	19	23	18 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
GRANBURY ISD	HOOD	6,724	RECOGNIZED	82	75	81 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GRAND PRAIRIE ISD	DALLAS	26,293	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	69	55	64 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
GRAND SALINE ISD	VAN ZANDT	1,136	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	5	81	28 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
GRANDFALLS-ROYALTY ISD	WARD	123	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	38	5	11 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GRANDVIEW ISD	JOHNSON	1,117	RECOGNIZED	84	63	78 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
GRANDVIEW-HOPKINS ISD	GRAY	29	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY HIGH	=	**
GRANGER ISD	WILLIAMSON	418	RECOGNIZED	36	55	46 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
GRAPE CREEK ISD	TOM GREEN	1,102	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	22	6	8 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
GRAPELAND ISD	HOUSTON	526	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	52	9	21 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
GRAPEVINE-COLLEYVILLE ISD	TARRANT	13,619	RECOGNIZED	75	95	92 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
GREENVILLE ISD	HUNT	4,915	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	29	21	22 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
GREENWOOD ISD	MIDLAND	1,639	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	45	61	54 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
GREGORY-PORTLAND ISD	SAN PATRICIO	4,193	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	74	89	88 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
GROESBECK ISD	LIMESTONE	1,582	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	61	12	29 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GROOM ISD	CARSON	134	RECOGNIZED	77	84	84 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GROVETON ISD	TRINITY	712	RECOGNIZED	29	3	7 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GRUVER ISD	HANSFORD	420	RECOGNIZED	84	45	69 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GUARDIAN ANGEL PERFORMANCE ARTS ACADEMY (C)	BEXAR	17	N/A	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	=	**
GUNTER ISD	GRAYSON	772	RECOGNIZED	89	94	95 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
GUSTINE ISD	COMANCHE	230	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	50	81	70 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
GUTHRIE CSD	KING	125	RECOGNIZED	94	53	83 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HALE CENTER ISD	HALE	627	RECOGNIZED	68	20	41 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HALLETTSVILLE ISD	LAVACA	860	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	66	28	48 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HALLSBURG ISD	MCLENNAN	99	EXEMPLARY	52	31	41 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HALLSVILLE ISD	HARRISON	4,259	RECOGNIZED	91	70	87 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HAMILTON ISD	HAMILTON	847	RECOGNIZED	48	89	76 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
HAMLIN ISD	JONES	496	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	72	13	37 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HAMPTON PREPARATORY (C)	DALLAS	485	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
HAMSHIRE-FANNETT ISD	JEFFERSON	1,752	RECOGNIZED	75	47	65 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
HAPPY ISD	SWISHER	244	RECOGNIZED	65	80	77 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HARDIN ISD	LIBERTY	1,243	RECOGNIZED	27	47	36 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
HARDIN-JEFFERSON ISD	HARDIN	2,011	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	92	44	77 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HARLANDALE ISD	BEXAR	14,454	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	60	62	62 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
HARLETON ISD	HARRISON	736	RECOGNIZED	62	99	95 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
HARLINGEN CISD	CAMERON	18,142	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	53	21	34 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HARMONY ISD	UPSHUR	1,070	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	7	89	42 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HARMONY SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE (C)	HARRIS	1,125	EXEMPLARY	63	66	67 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION (C)	HARRIS	1,005	N/A	7	61	22 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HARMONY SCHOOL OF SCIENCE (AUSTIN) (C)	TRAVIS	526	N/A	22	16	15 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HARMONY SCHOOL OF SCIENCE (HOUSTON) (C)	HARRIS	620	EXEMPLARY	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY (AUSTIN) (C)	TRAVIS	1,104	RECOGNIZED	49	69	61 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY (BEAUMONT) (C)	JEFFERSON	502	N/A	6	13	6 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY (BROWNSVILLE) (C)	CAMERON	381	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY (COLLEGE STATION) (C)	BRAZOS	274	N/A	2	2	1 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY (EL PASO) (C)	EL PASO	1,112	RECOGNIZED	20	40	26 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY (FORT WORTH) (C)	TARRANT	1,948	RECOGNIZED	7	19	9 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY (HOUSTON) (C)	HARRIS	1,804	RECOGNIZED	67	66	70 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY (LAREDO) (C)	WEBB	572	RECOGNIZED	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY (LUBBOCK) (C)	LUBBOCK	379	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	3	8	3 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY (SAN ANTONIO) (C)	BEXAR	768	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	15	95	61 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY (WACO) (C)	MCLENNAN	433	RECOGNIZED	48	73	63 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HARPER ISD	GILLESPIE	627	RECOGNIZED	81	48	69 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HARROLD ISD	WILBARGER	96	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	34	26	28 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HART ISD	CASTRO	300	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	16	12	11 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HARTLEY ISD	HARTLEY	198	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	70	95	90 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
HARTS BLUFF ISD	TITUS	449	RECOGNIZED	35	88	69 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HASKELL CISD	HASKELL	632	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	55	27	40 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HAWKINS ISD	WOOD	736	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	68	46	59 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
HAWLEY ISD	JONES	749	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	18	40	25 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
HAYS CISD	HAYS	14,598	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	53	73	65 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HEARNE ISD	ROBERTSON	1,091	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	87	1	6 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HEDLEY ISD	DONLEY	137	RECOGNIZED	32	59	45 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HEMPHILL ISD	SABINE	973	RECOGNIZED	54	31	43 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
HEMPSTEAD ISD	WALLER	1,500	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	67	61	67 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
HENDERSON ISD	RUSK	3,356	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	63	81	77 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HENRIETTA ISD	CLAY	905	RECOGNIZED	46	60	55 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

\*\* Insufficient data

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
HENRY FORD ACADEMY ALAMEDA SCHOOL (C)	BEXAR	74	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
HEREFORD ISD	DEAF SMITH	4,203	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	8	10	6 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HERMLEIGH ISD	SCURRY	221	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	3	22	6 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HICO ISD	HAMILTON	662	RECOGNIZED	85	89	92 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HIDALGO ISD	HIDALGO	3,495	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	44	22	31 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HIGGINS ISD	LIPSCOMB	94	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	18	32	22 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HIGGS, CARTER, KING GIFTED & TALENTED CHARTER ACADEMY (C)	BEXAR	498	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	=	**
HIGH ISLAND ISD	GALVESTON	186	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	22	50	33 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HIGHLAND ISD	NOLAN	227	EXEMPLARY	75	72	77 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HIGHLAND PARK ISD	POTTER	916	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	59	59	60 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HIGHLAND PARK ISD	DALLAS	6,416	EXEMPLARY	96	90	97 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HILLSBORO ISD	HILL	1,892	RECOGNIZED	47	67	59 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HITCHCOCK ISD	GALVESTON	1,188	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	5	6	3 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HOLLAND ISD	BELL	540	RECOGNIZED	45	13	23 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HOLLIDAY ISD	ARCHER	871	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	62	50	58 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HONDO ISD	MEDINA	2,266	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	16	4	5 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HONEY GROVE ISD	FANNIN	609	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	85	47	72 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HONORS ACADEMY (C)	DALLAS	1,021	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	11	15	10 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
HOOKS ISD	BOWIE	1,023	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	5	67	21 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HOUSTON ALTERNATIVE PREPARATORY CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	170	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	60	16	32 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HOUSTON CAN ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	809	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	LOW	=	**
HOUSTON GATEWAY ACADEMY INC (C)	HARRIS	864	RECOGNIZED	98	97	99 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
HOUSTON HEIGHTS HIGH SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	201	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	AVERAGE	=	**
HOUSTON HEIGHTS LEARNING ACADEMY INC (C)	HARRIS	124	EXEMPLARY	99	95	98 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
HOUSTON ISD	HARRIS	200,944	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	75	52	67 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HOWE ISD	GRAYSON	979	RECOGNIZED	57	66	63 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
HUBBARD ISD	HILL	399	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	13	19	12 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HUBBARD ISD	BOWIE	123	EXEMPLARY	87	64	80 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HUCKABAY ISD	ERATH	191	EXEMPLARY	94	87	94 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HUDSON ISD	ANGELINA	2,595	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	94	59	86 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HUFFMAN ISD	HARRIS	3,150	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	27	17	19 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
HUGHES SPRINGS ISD	CASS	1,096	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	63	45	56 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
HULL-DAISETTA ISD	LIBERTY	498	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	29	20	21 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HUMBLE ISD	HARRIS	34,689	RECOGNIZED	69	62	68 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
HUNT ISD	KERR	187	RECOGNIZED	66	49	60 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
HUNTINGTON ISD	ANGELINA	1,746	RECOGNIZED	53	22	35 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
HUNTSVILLE ISD	WALKER	6,270	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	67	58	65 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
HURST-EULESS-BEDFORD ISD	TARRANT	20,692	RECOGNIZED	92	94	95 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
HUTTO ISD	WILLIAMSON	5,110	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	28	61	43 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
IDALOU ISD	LUBBOCK	962	RECOGNIZED	51	24	36 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
IDEA PUBLIC SCHOOLS (C)	HIDALGO	5,515	EXEMPLARY	93	99	99 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
INDUSTRIAL ISD	JACKSON	1,100	RECOGNIZED	79	80	83 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
INGLESIDE ISD	SAN PATRICIO	2,150	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	17	16	13 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
INGRAM ISD	KERR	1,134	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	17	20	15 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
INSPIRED VISION ACADEMY (C)	DALLAS	971	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	2	26	6 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
IOLA ISD	GRIMES	507	RECOGNIZED	80	4	26 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
IOWA PARK CISD	WICHITA	1,758	RECOGNIZED	46	54	50 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
IRA ISD	SCURRY	258	RECOGNIZED	73	30	53 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★★
IRAAN-SHEFFIELD ISD	PECOS	543	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	41	93	77 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★★
IREDELL ISD	BOSQUE	132	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	19	15	13 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
IRION COUNTY ISD	IRION	335	RECOGNIZED	82	80	85 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
IRVING ISD	DALLAS	33,563	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	67	96	91 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
ITALY ISD	ELLIS	634	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	23	28	23 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
ITASCA ISD	HILL	741	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	90	43	74 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
JACKSBORO ISD	JACK	955	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	48	61	56 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
JACKSONVILLE ISD	CHEROKEE	4,899	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	74	65	72 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
JAMIE'S HOUSE CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	96	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
JARRELL ISD	WILLIAMSON	915	RECOGNIZED	83	75	83 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
JASPER ISD	JASPER	2,811	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	24	91	65 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
JAYTON-GIRARD ISD	KENT	143	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	58	86	78 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★★
JEAN MASSIEU ACADEMY (C)	DALLAS	104	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
JEFFERSON ISD	MARION	1,242	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	22	36	26 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
JIM HOGG COUNTY ISD	JIM HOGG	1,134	RECOGNIZED	46	38	42 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
JIM NED CISD	TAYLOR	1,031	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	43	62	53 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
JOAQUIN ISD	SHELBY	732	RECOGNIZED	96	53	88 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
JOHN H WOOD JR PUBLIC CHARTER DISTRICT (C)	BEXAR	348	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
JOHNSON CITY ISD	BLANCO	679	RECOGNIZED	62	58	62 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
JONESBORO ISD	CORYELL	133	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	21	14	14 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
JOSHUA ISD	JOHNSON	4,722	RECOGNIZED	71	54	65 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
JOURDANTON ISD	ATASCOSA	1,269	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	40	24	29 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
JUAN B GALAVIZ CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	74	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	LOW	=	**
JUBILEE ACADEMIC CENTER (C)	BEXAR	701	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	39	82	64 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
JUDSON ISD	BEXAR	21,695	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	34	53	43 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
JUNCTION ISD	KIMBLE	658	RECOGNIZED	7	39	15 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■■

\*\* Insufficient data



DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
KARNACK ISD	HARRISON	183	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	59	18	34 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KARNES CITY ISD	KARNES	953	RECOGNIZED	74	49	64 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KATHERINE ANNE PORTER SCHOOL (C)	HAYS	124	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	6	19	9 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KATY ISD	HARRIS	58,444	RECOGNIZED	87	86	91 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KAUFMAN ISD	KAUFMAN	3,733	RECOGNIZED	61	39	51 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KEENE ISD	JOHNSON	825	RECOGNIZED	94	99	99 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KELLER ISD	TARRANT	31,450	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	77	94	92 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KELTON ISD	WHEELER	156	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	36	9	16 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KEMP ISD	KAUFMAN	1,565	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	23	18	18 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KENDLETON ISD	FORT BEND	78	N/A	53	26	38 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KENEDY COUNTY WIDE CSD	KENEDY	83	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	98	85	97 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KENEDY ISD	KARNES	683	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	12	70	33 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KENNARD ISD	HOUSTON	353	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	18	2	3 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KENNEDALE ISD	TARRANT	3,155	RECOGNIZED	52	83	72 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KERENS ISD	NAVARRO	657	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	57	24	38 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KERMIT ISD	WINKLER	1,229	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	6	1	1 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KERRVILLE ISD	KERR	4,880	RECOGNIZED	71	77	78 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KILGORE ISD	GREGG	3,803	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	39	33	34 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KILLEEN ISD	BELL	39,523	RECOGNIZED	61	84	78 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KINGSVILLE ISD	KLEBERG	3,981	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	2	5	2 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KIPP ASPIRE ACADEMY (C)	BEXAR	496	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	89	92	94 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KIPP AUSTIN PUBLIC SCHOOLS INC (C)	TRAVIS	637	RECOGNIZED	99	99	99 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KIPP INC CHARTER (C)	HARRIS	3,864	RECOGNIZED	90	97	96 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KIPP SOUTHEAST HOUSTON (C)	HARRIS	794	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	66	95	90 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KIPP TRUTH ACADEMY (C)	DALLAS	264	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	99	65	96 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KIRBYVILLE CISD	JASPER	1,473	RECOGNIZED	47	37	42 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KLEIN ISD	HARRIS	44,695	RECOGNIZED	70	72	75 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KLONDIKE ISD	DAWSON	208	EXEMPLARY	87	91	93 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KNIPPA ISD	UVALDE	239	RECOGNIZED	94	35	76 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KNOX CITY-O'BRIEN CISD	KNOX	297	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	41	63	51 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KOPPERL ISD	BOSQUE	250	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	17	74	41 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KOUNTZE ISD	HARDIN	1,366	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	16	5	6 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KRESS ISD	SWISHER	223	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	35	18	23 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
KRUM ISD	DENTON	1,604	RECOGNIZED	63	60	63 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
LA ACADEMIA DE ESTRELLAS (C)	DALLAS	354	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	HIGH	=	**
LA AMISTAD LOVE & LEARNING ACADEMY (C)	HARRIS	347	RECOGNIZED	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	=	**
LA ESCUELA DE LAS AMERICAS (C)	BEXAR	98	N/A	**	**	**	+	HIGH	=	**
LA FE PREPARATORY SCHOOL (C)	EL PASO	156	RECOGNIZED	**	**	**	+	VERY HIGH	=	**
LA FERIA ISD	CAMERON	3,447	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	54	38	46 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★ ★ ★ ★ ★

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
LA GLORIA ISD	JIM WELLS	116	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	25	45	33 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LA GRANGE ISD	FAYETTE	1,897	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	73	31	54 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LA JOYA ISD	HIDALGO	27,935	RECOGNIZED	29	12	16 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LA MARQUE ISD	GALVESTON	3,396	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	43	16	25 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LA PORTE ISD	HARRIS	7,818	RECOGNIZED	49	63	58 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LA PRYOR ISD	ZAVALA	484	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	2	38	6 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LA VEGA ISD	MCLENNAN	2,921	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	11	2	2 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LA VERNIA ISD	WILSON	2,969	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	68	34	52 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LA VILLA ISD	HIDALGO	600	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	10	96	59 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LACKLAND ISD	BEXAR	934	RECOGNIZED	91	95	96 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LAGO VISTA ISD	TRAVIS	1,224	RECOGNIZED	86	88	91 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LAKE DALLAS ISD	DENTON	4,087	RECOGNIZED	79	83	86 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
LAKE TRAVIS ISD	TRAVIS	6,546	EXEMPLARY	93	97	97 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LAKE WORTH ISD	TARRANT	2,951	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	41	62	51 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LAMAR CISD	FORT BEND	23,769	RECOGNIZED	80	87	89 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LAMESA ISD	DAWSON	1,924	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	3	8	3 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★☆☆
LAMPASAS ISD	LAMPASAS	3,360	RECOGNIZED	32	35	32 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LANCASTER ISD	DALLAS	6,172	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	12	21	13 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
LANEVILLE ISD	RUSK	180	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	66	24	45 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LAPOYNOR ISD	HENDERSON	466	RECOGNIZED	49	92	79 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LAREDO ISD	WEBB	24,682	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	56	25	39 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LASARA ISD	WILLACY	454	RECOGNIZED	65	76	74 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LATEXO ISD	HOUSTON	449	RECOGNIZED	26	39	31 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LAZBUDDIE ISD	PARMER	140	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	52	74	65 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LEAKEY ISD	REAL	233	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	46	93	80 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LEANDER ISD	WILLIAMSON	30,321	RECOGNIZED	82	86	89 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LEARY ISD	BOWIE	114	RECOGNIZED	23	43	30 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LEFORS ISD	GRAY	157	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	8	62	24 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LEGGETT ISD	POLK	187	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	28	45	35 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LEON ISD	LEON	729	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	14	63	32 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LEONARD ISD	FANNIN	895	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	30	44	36 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
LEVELLAND ISD	HOCKLEY	2,917	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	36	25	29 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LEVERETTS CHAPEL ISD	RUSK	259	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	12	75	37 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LEWISVILLE ISD	DENTON	50,664	RECOGNIZED	91	96	96 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LEXINGTON ISD	LEE	919	RECOGNIZED	60	30	45 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LIBERTY HILL ISD	WILLIAMSON	2,558	RECOGNIZED	80	77	82 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
LIBERTY ISD	LIBERTY	2,224	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	24	22	20 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LIBERTY-EYLAU ISD	BOWIE	2,891	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	33	50	41 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LIFE SCHOOL (C)	DALLAS	3,434	RECOGNIZED	42	86	69 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

\*\* Insufficient data

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
LIGHTHOUSE CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	BEXAR	207	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	6	11	6 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LINDALE ISD	SMITH	3,584	RECOGNIZED	96	82	94 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LINDEN-KILDARE CISD	CASS	797	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	30	51	39 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
LINDSAY ISD	COOKE	530	EXEMPLARY	88	50	76 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
LINGLEVILLE ISD	ERATH	223	RECOGNIZED	93	66	87 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LIPAN ISD	HOOD	287	RECOGNIZED	55	48	53 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LITTLE CYPRESS-AURICEVILLE CISD	ORANGE	3,621	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	37	45	40 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LITTLE ELM ISD	DENTON	6,089	RECOGNIZED	49	78	68 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LITTLEFIELD ISD	LAMB	1,469	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	32	44	36 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LIVINGSTON ISD	POLK	3,982	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	26	3	7 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LLANO ISD	LLANO	1,946	RECOGNIZED	62	48	57 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LOCKHART ISD	CALDWELL	4,612	RECOGNIZED	86	10	42 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
LOCKNEY ISD	FLOYD	551	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	24	23	22 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LOHN ISD	MCCULLOCH	98	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	5	35	11 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LOMETA ISD	LAMPASAS	314	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	23	80	52 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LONDON ISD	NUECES	352	EXEMPLARY	68	55	63 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LONE OAK ISD	HUNT	923	EXEMPLARY	91	67	85 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LONGVIEW ISD	GREGG	8,299	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	41	34	36 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LOOP ISD	GAINES	137	RECOGNIZED	86	68	81 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LORAIN ISD	MITCHELL	180	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	5	22	9 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LORENA ISD	MCLENNAN	1,611	RECOGNIZED	28	91	68 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LORENZO ISD	CROSBY	317	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	12	57	27 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LOS FRESNOS CISD	CAMERON	9,721	RECOGNIZED	95	84	94 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LOUISE ISD	WHARTON	508	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	69	49	61 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LOVEJOY ISD	COLLIN	3,214	EXEMPLARY	80	70	79 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LOVELADY ISD	HOUSTON	556	EXEMPLARY	45	29	37 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
LUBBOCK ISD	LUBBOCK	28,476	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	39	53	46 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LUBBOCK-COOPER ISD	LUBBOCK	3,717	RECOGNIZED	80	93	92 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LUEBERS-AVOCA ISD	JONES	114	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	44	18	27 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LUFKIN ISD	ANGELINA	8,601	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	88	46	74 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
LULING ISD	CALDWELL	1,455	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	23	5	8 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LUMBERTON ISD	HARDIN	3,896	RECOGNIZED	24	71	47 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
LYFORD CISD	WILLACY	1,551	RECOGNIZED	78	57	71 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
LYTLE ISD	ATASCOSA	1,711	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	30	43	35 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
MABANK ISD	KAUFMAN	3,322	EXEMPLARY	73	63	71 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
MADISONVILLE CISD	MADISON	2,319	RECOGNIZED	92	25	67 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
MAGNOLIA ISD	MONTGOMERY	11,651	RECOGNIZED	52	74	66 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
MAINLAND PREPARATORY ACADEMY (C)	GALVESTON	481	RECOGNIZED	74	59	70 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
MALAKOFF ISD	HENDERSON	1,212	RECOGNIZED	42	41	41 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
MALONE ISD	HILL	90	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	46	65	57 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
MALTA ISD	BOWIE	114	EXEMPLARY	33	57	45 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
MANARA ACADEMY (C)	DALLAS	249	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
MANOR ISD	TRAVIS	6,902	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	23	24	20 ■■■□□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆☆
MANSFIELD ISD	TARRANT	31,614	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	44	81	66 ■■■■□□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★☆☆
MARATHON ISD	BREWSTER	51	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	47	78	67 ■■■■□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MARBLE FALLS ISD	BURNET	4,003	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	37	33	33 ■■■□□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MARFA ISD	PRESIDIO	383	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	38	59	48 ■■■■□□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★☆☆
MARION ISD	GUADALUPE	1,351	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	16	24	17 ■□□□□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MARLIN ISD	FALLS	1,110	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	19	10	11 ■□□□□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★☆☆☆☆
MARSHALL ISD	HARRISON	5,776	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	18	12	11 ■□□□□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MART ISD	MCLENNAN	575	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	56	50	55 ■■■■□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MARTINS MILL ISD	VAN ZANDT	494	RECOGNIZED	61	94	88 ■■■■□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★★☆☆
MARTINSVILLE ISD	NACOGDOCHES	322	RECOGNIZED	17	51	30 ■■■□□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MASON ISD	MASON	680	RECOGNIZED	95	92	96 ■■■■□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★★☆☆
MATAGORDA ISD	MATAGORDA	99	RECOGNIZED	15	46	25 ■■■□□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MATHIS ISD	SAN PATRICIO	1,736	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	16	7	8 ■□□□□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MAUD ISD	BOWIE	513	RECOGNIZED	69	48	61 ■■■■□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MAY ISD	BROWN	253	RECOGNIZED	61	19	37 ■■■□□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MAYPEARL ISD	ELLIS	1,102	RECOGNIZED	54	89	79 ■■■■□□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★☆☆
MCALLEN ISD	HIDALGO	25,101	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	75	68	75 ■■■■□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MCCAMEY ISD	UPTON	477	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	6	10	5 ■□□□□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★☆☆☆☆
MCDADE ISD	BASTROP	183	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	87	98	97 ■■■■□□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★☆☆
MCGREGOR ISD	MCLENNAN	1,318	RECOGNIZED	54	18	31 ■■■□□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MCKINNEY ISD	COLLIN	23,774	RECOGNIZED	81	90	90 ■■■■□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★★☆☆
MCLEAN ISD	GRAY	220	RECOGNIZED	81	55	72 ■■■■□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MCLEOD ISD	CASS	436	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	89	28	65 ■■■■□□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★☆☆
MCMULLEN COUNTY ISD	MCMULLEN	170	EXEMPLARY	54	59	58 ■■■■□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MEADOW ISD	TERRY	280	RECOGNIZED	57	47	54 ■■■■□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MEADOWLAND CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	KENDALL	60	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
MEDICAL CENTER CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	222	RECOGNIZED	67	55	63 ■■■■□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★★☆☆
MEDINA ISD	BANDERA	317	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	77	69	77 ■■■■□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MEDINA VALLEY ISD	MEDINA	3,372	RECOGNIZED	89	73	86 ■■■■□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★☆☆
MELISSA ISD	COLLIN	1,378	RECOGNIZED	75	52	67 ■■■■□□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★☆☆
MEMPHIS ISD	HALL	555	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	52	43	49 ■■■■□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MENARD ISD	MENARD	331	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	5	90	40 ■■■■□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MERCEDES ISD	HIDALGO	5,522	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	9	16	9 ■□□□□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★☆☆☆☆
MERIDIAN ISD	BOSQUE	518	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	76	49	66 ■■■■□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★★☆☆
MERKEL ISD	TAYLOR	1,110	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	24	22	20 ■■■□□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆☆☆
MESQUITE ISD	DALLAS	37,175	RECOGNIZED	75	93	90 ■■■■□□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★☆☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■□□ 40-59 ■■■□□□ 20-39 ■■□□□□ LESS THAN 20 ■□□□□□

\*\* Insufficient data

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
METRO ACADEMY OF MATH AND SCIENCE (C)	TARRANT	359	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	2	16	4 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
MEXIA ISD	LIMESTONE	2,210	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	38	29	31 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
MEYERPARK ELEMENTARY (C)	HARRIS	174	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	61	36	49 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
MEYERSVILLE ISD	DEWITT	163	RECOGNIZED	66	21	42 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
MIAMI ISD	ROBERTS	176	EXEMPLARY	97	60	91 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
MID-VALLEY ACADEMY (C)	HIDALGO	379	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	LOW	=	**
MIDLAND ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	MIDLAND	538	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	13	8	7 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
MIDLAND ISD	MIDLAND	21,287	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	15	10	9 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
MIDLOTHIAN ISD	ELLIS	7,298	RECOGNIZED	82	71	80 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
MIDWAY ISD	CLAY	111	RECOGNIZED	93	27	69 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
MIDWAY ISD	MCCLENNAN	6,871	RECOGNIZED	52	92	81 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
MILANO ISD	MILAM	417	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	82	12	44 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
MILDRED ISD	NAVARRO	768	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	18	28	20 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
MILES ISD	RUNNELS	400	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	85	20	54 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
MILFORD ISD	ELLIS	252	RECOGNIZED	97	64	92 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
MILLER GROVE ISD	HOPKINS	259	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	10	31	15 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
MILLSAP ISD	PARKER	767	RECOGNIZED	44	86	71 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
MINEOLA ISD	WOOD	1,579	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	27	33	28 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
MINERAL WELLS ISD	PALO PINTO	3,561	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	37	9	16 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
MISSION CISD	HIDALGO	15,341	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	33	52	42 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
MONAHANS-WICKETT-PYOTE ISD	WARD	1,978	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	74	18	44 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
MONTAGUE ISD	MONTAGUE	103	RECOGNIZED	16	65	35 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
MONTE ALTO ISD	HIDALGO	820	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	95	54	86 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
MONTGOMERY ISD	MONTGOMERY	6,704	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	81	61	75 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
MOODY ISD	MCCLENNAN	709	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	4	44	13 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
MORAN ISD	SHACKELFORD	181	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	35	58	47 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
MORGAN ISD	BOSQUE	131	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	6	34	12 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
MORGAN MILL ISD	ERATH	103	RECOGNIZED	90	41	73 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
MORTON ISD	COCHRAN	469	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	31	4	9 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
MOTLEY COUNTY ISD	MOTLEY	187	RECOGNIZED	22	28	23 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
MOULTON ISD	LAVACA	311	RECOGNIZED	79	90	90 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
MOUNT CALM ISD	HILL	154	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	8	20	10 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
MOUNT ENTERPRISE ISD	RUSK	401	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	2	53	10 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
MOUNT PLEASANT ISD	TITUS	5,278	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	78	81	84 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
MOUNT VERNON ISD	FRANKLIN	1,536	RECOGNIZED	70	86	84 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
MUENSTER ISD	COOKE	485	EXEMPLARY	72	60	69 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
MULESHOE ISD	BAILEY	1,437	RECOGNIZED	90	17	56 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■



DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
MULLIN ISD	MILLS	109	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	16	15	12 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★☆☆☆☆
MUMFORD ISD	ROBERTSON	550	EXEMPLARY	93	97	97 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
MUNDAY CISD	KNOX	378	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	35	69	53 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
MURCHISON ISD	HENDERSON	169	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	4	63	17 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★☆☆☆☆
NACOGDOCHES ISD	NACOGDOCHES	6,302	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	47	12	23 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
NATALIA ISD	MEDINA	1,102	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	86	98	97 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
NAVARRO ISD	GUADALUPE	1,555	RECOGNIZED	68	61	68 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
NAVASOTA ISD	GRIMES	2,868	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	8	6	4 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★☆☆☆☆
NAZARETH ISD	CASTRO	233	RECOGNIZED	37	94	77 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
NECHES ISD	ANDERSON	376	RECOGNIZED	10	82	40 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
NEDERLAND ISD	JEFFERSON	5,022	RECOGNIZED	27	31	28 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
NEEDVILLE ISD	FORT BEND	2,589	RECOGNIZED	93	75	90 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
NEW BOSTON ISD	BOWIE	1,397	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	20	88	58 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
NEW BRAUNFELS ISD	COMAL	7,809	RECOGNIZED	63	77	73 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
NEW CANEY ISD	MONTGOMERY	9,590	RECOGNIZED	72	56	67 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
NEW DEAL ISD	LUBBOCK	728	RECOGNIZED	37	54	46 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
NEW DIANA ISD	UPSHUR	980	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	55	79	71 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
NEW FRONTIERS CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	BEXAR	609	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	AVERAGE	=	**
NEW HOME ISD	LYNN	184	RECOGNIZED	85	63	78 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
NEW SUMMERFIELD ISD	CHEROKEE	479	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	97	14	68 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
NEW WAVERLY ISD	WALKER	901	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	40	34	35 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
NEWCASTLE ISD	YOUNG	190	EXEMPLARY	99	54	95 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
NEWTON ISD	NEWTON	1,150	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	10	3	3 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
NIXON-SMILEY CISD	GONZALES	1,057	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	25	48	35 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
NOCONA ISD	MONTAGUE	803	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	18	39	25 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
NORDHEIM ISD	DEWITT	96	RECOGNIZED	63	7	23 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
NORMANGEE ISD	LEON	544	RECOGNIZED	43	33	37 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
NORTH EAST ISD	BEXAR	65,217	RECOGNIZED	74	87	86 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
NORTH FOREST ISD	HARRIS	7,662	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	45	3	11 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
NORTH HILLS PREPARATORY SCHOOL (C)	DALLAS	1,335	EXEMPLARY	96	97	98 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
NORTH HOPKINS ISD	HOPKINS	457	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	6	63	22 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
NORTH HOUSTON HIGH SCHOOL FOR BUSINESS (C)	HARRIS	24	N/A	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
NORTH LAMAR ISD	LAMAR	2,963	RECOGNIZED	60	18	36 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
NORTH ZULCH ISD	MADISON	355	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	3	17	5 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
NORTHSIDE ISD	WILBARGER	193	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	2	32	5 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
NORTHSIDE ISD	BEXAR	91,464	RECOGNIZED	69	90	87 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
NORTHWEST ISD	DENTON	14,088	RECOGNIZED	84	74	82 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
NORTHWEST PREPARATORY (C)	HARRIS	283	RECOGNIZED	99	76	98 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
NOVA ACADEMY (C)	DALLAS	179	RECOGNIZED	99	98	99 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
NOVA ACADEMY (SOUTHEAST) (C)	DALLAS	275	RECOGNIZED	92	98	97 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
NOVICE ISD	COLEMAN	102	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	10	41	19 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

\*\* Insufficient data

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS			
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	= *FAST RATING
NUECES CANYON CISD	EDWARDS	282	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	20	94	66 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
NURSERY ISD	VICTORIA	111	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	9	39	17 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
NYOS CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	TRAVIS	677	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	58	97	91 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
O'DONNELL ISD	LYNN	338	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	19	43	27 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
OAKWOOD ISD	LEON	226	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	34	5	10 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
ODEM-EDROY ISD	SAN PATRICIO	1,129	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	19	82	50 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
ODYSSEY ACADEMY INC (C)	GALVESTON	506	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	4	56	14 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
OGLESBY ISD	CORYELL	171	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	34	49	41 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
OLFEN ISD	RUNNELS	75	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	67	50	61 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
OLNEY ISD	YOUNG	780	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	75	22	49 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
OLTON ISD	LAMB	706	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	61	65	64 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
ONALASKA ISD	POLK	946	RECOGNIZED	10	15	9 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
ONE STOP MULTISERVICE CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	HIDALGO	743	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	HIGH	= **
ORANGE GROVE ISD	JIM WELLS	1,749	RECOGNIZED	27	23	24 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
ORANGEFIELD ISD	ORANGE	1,754	RECOGNIZED	40	23	29 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
ORE CITY ISD	UPSHUR	836	RECOGNIZED	40	36	37 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
ORENDA CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	BELL	185	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	= **
OUTREACH ACADEMY (C)	VICTORIA	218	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	42	58	50 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
OVERTON ISD	RUSK	530	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	4	27	8 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
PADUCAH ISD	COTTLE	235	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	2	63	12 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
PAINT CREEK ISD	HASKELL	162	RECOGNIZED	17	88	55 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
PAINT ROCK ISD	CONCHO	139	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	26	78	53 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
PALACIOS ISD	MATAGORDA	1,508	RECOGNIZED	90	66	85 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
PALESTINE ISD	ANDERSON	3,238	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	41	6	14 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
PALMER ISD	ELLIS	1,125	RECOGNIZED	71	93	89 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
PALO PINTO ISD	PALO PINTO	91	EXEMPLARY	90	69	86 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
PAMPA ISD	GRAY	3,465	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	24	33	26 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
PANHANDLE ISD	CARSON	660	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	9	58	24 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
PANOLA CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	PANOLA	139	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY HIGH	= **
PANTHER CREEK CISD	COLEMAN	166	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	73	66	72 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
PARADIGM ACCELERATED CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	ERATH	219	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	HIGH	= **
PARADISE ISD	WISE	1,034	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	35	51	44 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
PARIS ISD	LAMAR	3,704	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	28	27	26 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
PASADENA ISD	HARRIS	51,923	RECOGNIZED	51	52	53 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
PASO DEL NORTE (C)	EL PASO	364	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	AVERAGE	= **
PATTON SPRINGS ISD	DICKENS	92	RECOGNIZED	73	77	79 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■■

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
PAWNEE ISD	BEE	137	RECOGNIZED	77	75	79 ■■■■□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
PEAK PREPARATORY SCHOOL (C)	DALLAS	898	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	99	99	99 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
PEARLAND ISD	BRAZORIA	18,198	RECOGNIZED	79	94	92 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
PEARSALL ISD	FRIO	2,265	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	4	2	1 ■□□□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
PEASTER ISD	PARKER	1,071	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	36	29	31 ■■□□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
PECOS-BARSTOW-TOYAH ISD	REEVES	2,193	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	16	7	8 ■□□□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★☆☆
PEGASUS SCHOOL OF LIBERAL ARTS AND SCIENCES (C)	DALLAS	470	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	AVERAGE	=	**
PENELOPE ISD	HILL	196	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	2	3	1 ■□□□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
PERRIN-WHITT CISD	JACK	397	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	24	78	51 ■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
PERRYTON ISD	OCHILTREE	2,255	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	21	55	34 ■■□□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
PETERSBURG ISD	HALE	279	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	83	59	76 ■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
PETROLIA ISD	CLAY	483	RECOGNIZED	59	60	61 ■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
PETTUS ISD	BEE	404	RECOGNIZED	76	27	54 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
PEWITT CISD	MORRIS	999	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	38	58	47 ■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
PFLUGERVILLE ISD	TRAVIS	22,013	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	72	70	74 ■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
PHARR-SAN JUAN-ALAMO ISD	HIDALGO	31,223	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	37	40	37 ■■□□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
PHOENIX CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	HUNT	448	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	4	55	15 ■□□□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
PILOT POINT ISD	DENTON	1,523	RECOGNIZED	45	90	76 ■■■■□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
PINE TREE ISD	GREGG	4,732	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	21	17	16 ■□□□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
PINEYWOODS COMMUNITY ACADEMY (C)	ANGELINA	336	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	1	48	7 ■□□□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
PITTSBURG ISD	CAMP	2,423	RECOGNIZED	79	83	86 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
PLAINS ISD	YOAKUM	468	EXEMPLARY	95	70	91 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
PLAINVIEW ISD	HALE	5,846	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	32	15	19 ■□□□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
PLANO ISD	COLLIN	54,683	RECOGNIZED	87	94	94 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
PLEASANT GROVE ISD	BOWIE	1,969	RECOGNIZED	42	67	55 ■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
PLEASANTON ISD	ATASCOSA	3,405	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	72	13	37 ■■□□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
PLEMONS-STINNETT-PHILLIPS CISD	HUTCHINSON	611	RECOGNIZED	27	28	26 ■■□□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
POINT ISABEL ISD	CAMERON	2,525	RECOGNIZED	76	81	82 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
PONDER ISD	DENTON	1,229	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	64	94	88 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
POOLVILLE ISD	PARKER	527	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	29	38	31 ■■□□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
POR VIDA ACADEMY (C)	BEXAR	304	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	12	32	18 ■□□□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★☆☆
PORT ARANSAS ISD	NUECES	548	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	66	84	80 ■■■■□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
PORT ARTHUR ISD	JEFFERSON	9,047	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	8	7	5 ■□□□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
PORT NECHES-GROVES ISD	JEFFERSON	4,586	RECOGNIZED	29	23	24 ■■□□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
POSITIVE SOLUTIONS CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	BEXAR	224	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	AVERAGE	=	**
POST ISD	GARZA	822	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	58	52	56 ■■■■□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■□ 40-59 ■■■□□ 20-39 ■■□□□ LESS THAN 20 ■□□□□

\*\* Insufficient data

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS			
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	= *FAST RATING
POTEET ISD	ATASCOSA	1,772	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	18	2	4 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
POTH ISD	WILSON	812	RECOGNIZED	75	59	71 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
POTTSBORO ISD	GRAYSON	1,255	RECOGNIZED	31	38	33 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
PRAIRIE LEA ISD	CALDWELL	241	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	54	30	42 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
PRAIRIE VALLEY ISD	MONTAGUE	156	EXEMPLARY	95	90	95 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
PRAIRILAND ISD	LAMAR	1,126	RECOGNIZED	39	52	46 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
PREMONT ISD	JIM WELLS	611	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	1	2	1 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
PRESIDIO ISD	PRESIDIO	1,464	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	67	67	70 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
PRIDY ISD	MILLS	107	RECOGNIZED	68	32	51 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
PRINCETON ISD	COLLIN	2,983	RECOGNIZED	59	87	80 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
PRINGLE-MORSE CISD	HANSFORD	111	RECOGNIZED	67	35	53 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
PROGRESO ISD	HIDALGO	2,224	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	36	16	22 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
PROSPER ISD	COLLIN	3,627	RECOGNIZED	95	92	96 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
QUANAH ISD	HARDEMAN	554	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	1	29	3 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
QUEEN CITY ISD	CASS	1,088	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	48	47	49 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
QUINLAN ISD	HUNT	2,531	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	13	27	16 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
QUITMAN ISD	WOOD	1,113	RECOGNIZED	81	88	90 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
RADIANCE ACADEMY OF LEARNING (C)	BEXAR	740	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	LOW	= **
RAINS ISD	RAINS	1,564	RECOGNIZED	53	49	52 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
RALLS ISD	CROSBY	541	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	58	15	31 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
RAMIREZ CSD	DUVAL	36	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	90	51	79 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
RANCH ACADEMY (C)	VAN ZANDT	88	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY HIGH	= **
RANDOLPH FIELD ISD	BEXAR	1,196	RECOGNIZED	82	91	92 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
RANGER ISD	EASTLAND	466	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	27	23	23 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
RANKIN ISD	UPTON	237	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	28	58	42 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
RAPOPORT ACADEMY PUBLIC SCHOOL (C)	MCLENNAN	369	RECOGNIZED	30	92	70 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
RAUL YZAGUIRRE SCHOOL FOR SUCCESS (C)	HARRIS	1,142	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	98	35	86 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★★★★
RAVEN SCHOOL (C)	WALKER	136	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY HIGH	= **
RAYMONDVILLE ISD	WILLACY	2,202	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	4	5	2 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
REAGAN COUNTY ISD	REAGAN	771	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	7	9	5 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
RECONCILIATION ACADEMY (C)	DALLAS	160	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	= **
RED LICK ISD	BOWIE	433	EXEMPLARY	65	89	83 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
RED OAK ISD	ELLIS	5,389	RECOGNIZED	31	57	43 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
REDWATER ISD	BOWIE	1,101	RECOGNIZED	21	23	19 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
REFUGIO ISD	REFUGIO	763	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	50	51	51 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★★★★
RESPONSIVE EDUCATION SOLUTIONS (C)	TAYLOR	4,796	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	65	81	78 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
RICARDO ISD	KLEBERG	617	EXEMPLARY	97	79	95 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★★★★
RICE CISD	COLORADO	1,322	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	42	9	17 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
RICE ISD	NAVARRO	775	RECOGNIZED	53	30	40 ■■■□□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY (AMARILLO) (C)	POTTER	234	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	LOW	=	**
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY (BEAUMONT) (C)	JEFFERSON	154	N/A	**	**	**	+	AVERAGE	=	**
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY (ECTOR COUNTY) (C)	ECTOR	477	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	HIGH	=	**
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY (FORT WORTH) (C)	TARRANT	201	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	=	**
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY (SUBURBAN HOUSTON) (C)	HARRIS	274	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	LOW	=	**
RICHARD MILBURN ALTERNATIVE HIGH SCHOOL (C)	NUECES	266	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	AVERAGE	=	**
RICHARD MILBURN ALTERNATIVE HIGH SCHOOL (C)	BELL	158	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	HIGH	=	**
RICHARDS ISD	GRIMES	138	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	20	18	16 ■□□□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★☆☆☆☆
RICHARDSON ISD	DALLAS	34,736	RECOGNIZED	97	92	97 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
RICHLAND COLLEGIATE HIGH SCHOOL OF MATH, SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING (C)	DALLAS	374	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	33	53	42 ■■■□□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
RICHLAND SPRINGS ISD	SAN SABA	149	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	25	44	32 ■■□□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
RIESEL ISD	MCLENNAN	580	RECOGNIZED	74	17	42 ■■■□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
RIO GRANDE CITY CISD	STARR	10,410	RECOGNIZED	92	65	85 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
RIO HONDO ISD	CAMERON	2,309	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	42	9	18 ■□□□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
RIO VISTA ISD	JOHNSON	880	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	11	4	4 ■□□□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
RIPLEY HOUSE CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	1,530	RECOGNIZED	98	97	98 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
RISE ACADEMY (C)	LUBBOCK	222	EXEMPLARY	98	96	98 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
RISING STAR ISD	EASTLAND	228	RECOGNIZED	26	70	47 ■■■□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
RIVER ROAD ISD	POTTER	1,497	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	33	15	20 ■■□□□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
RIVERCREST ISD	RED RIVER	729	RECOGNIZED	34	14	19 ■□□□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
RIVIERA ISD	KLEBERG	474	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	42	11	20 ■■□□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
ROBERT LEE ISD	COKE	250	RECOGNIZED	20	40	26 ■■□□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
ROBINSON ISD	MCLENNAN	2,157	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	50	27	38 ■■□□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
ROBSTOWN ISD	NUECES	3,385	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	7	1	1 ■□□□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
ROBY CISD	FISHER	303	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	21	23	19 ■□□□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
ROCHELLE ISD	MCCULLOCH	213	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	3	70	18 ■□□□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
ROCKDALE ISD	MILAM	1,745	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	55	42	49 ■■■□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
ROCKSPRINGS ISD	EDWARDS	298	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	23	74	47 ■■■□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
ROCKWALL ISD	ROCKWALL	13,732	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	77	83	84 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
ROGERS ISD	BELL	825	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	33	25	27 ■■□□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
ROMA ISD	STARR	6,320	RECOGNIZED	69	10	32 ■■□□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
ROOSEVELT ISD	LUBBOCK	1,122	RECOGNIZED	27	45	35 ■■□□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
ROPES ISD	HOCKLEY	324	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	80	41	64 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
ROSCOE ISD	NOLAN	365	RECOGNIZED	64	47	57 ■■■□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
ROSEBUD-LOTT ISD	FALLS	799	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	14	7	7 ■□□□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
ROTAN ISD	FISHER	338	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	37	19	24 ■■□□□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■□ 40-59 ■■■□□ 20-39 ■■□□□ LESS THAN 20 ■□□□□

\*\* Insufficient data



DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
ROUND ROCK ISD	WILLIAMSON	42,777	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	85	86	90 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
ROUND TOP-CARMINE ISD	FAYETTE	239	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	73	79	80 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
ROXTON ISD	LAMAR	215	RECOGNIZED	9	22	11 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
ROYAL ISD	WALLER	2,055	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	50	5	14 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
ROYSE CITY ISD	ROCKWALL	4,410	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	57	76	70 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
RULE ISD	HASKELL	154	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	9	81	36 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
RUNGE ISD	KARNES	300	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	80	57	72 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
RUSK ISD	CHEROKEE	2,138	RECOGNIZED	47	19	30 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
S AND S CISD	GRAYSON	842	RECOGNIZED	5	21	7 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SABINAL ISD	UVALDE	505	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	36	1	3 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
SABINE ISD	GREGG	1,297	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	64	22	41 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SABINE PASS ISD	JEFFERSON	303	RECOGNIZED	90	82	91 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SAINT JO ISD	MONTAGUE	314	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	21	20	17 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SALADO ISD	BELL	1,345	RECOGNIZED	83	92	92 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
SALTILLO ISD	HOPKINS	256	RECOGNIZED	58	56	58 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SAM RAYBURN ISD	FANNIN	428	RECOGNIZED	76	65	74 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
SAMNORWOOD ISD	COLLINGSWORTH	100	RECOGNIZED	17	30	20 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SAN ANGELO ISD	TOM GREEN	13,918	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	49	53	52 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SAN ANTONIO CAN HIGH SCHOOL (C)	BEXAR	377	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	AVERAGE	=	**
SAN ANTONIO ISD	BEXAR	55,086	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	10	42	19 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SAN ANTONIO PREPARATORY ACADEMY (C)	BEXAR	747	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	1	31	4 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
SAN ANTONIO SCHOOL FOR INQUIRY & CREATIVITY (C)	BEXAR	352	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY HIGH	=	**
SAN ANTONIO TECHNOLOGY ACADEMY (C)	BEXAR	85	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY HIGH	=	**
SAN AUGUSTINE ISD	SAN AUGUSTINE	860	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	11	1	1 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SAN BENITO CISD	CAMERON	11,193	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	69	62	68 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SAN DIEGO ISD	DUVAL	1,369	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	15	1	2 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SAN ELIZARIO ISD	EL PASO	3,991	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	85	37	66 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SAN FELIPE-DEL RIO CISD	VAL VERDE	10,258	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	39	39	38 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SAN ISIDRO ISD	STARR	278	RECOGNIZED	86	96	95 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SAN MARCOS CISD	HAYS	7,332	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	41	13	21 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SAN PERLITA ISD	WILLACY	281	RECOGNIZED	86	81	88 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SAN SABA ISD	SAN SABA	679	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	32	48	38 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SAN VICENTE ISD	BREWSTER	23	RECOGNIZED	60	55	59 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SANDS CISD	DAWSON	221	RECOGNIZED	74	34	57 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SANFORD-FRITCH ISD	HUTCHINSON	865	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	5	11	5 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
SANGER ISD	DENTON	2,590	RECOGNIZED	33	54	43 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SANTA ANNA ISD	COLEMAN	270	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	15	55	30 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■■

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS			
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	= *FAST RATING
SANTA FE ISD	GALVESTON	4,484	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	15	7	7 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	★★★★★
SANTA GERTRUDIS ISD	KLEBERG	395	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	56	49	54 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	★★★★★
SANTA MARIA ISD	CAMERON	666	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	24	2	4 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	★★★★★
SANTA ROSA ISD	CAMERON	1,172	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	71	92	89 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	★★★★★
SANTO ISD	PALO PINTO	509	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	22	11	12 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	★★★★★
SAVOY ISD	FANNIN	302	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	14	95	62 ■■■■■	+	LOW	★★★★★
SCHERTZ-CIBOLO-UNIVERSAL CITY ISD	GUADALUPE	11,682	RECOGNIZED	84	71	81 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	★★★★★
SCHLEICHER ISD	SCHLEICHER	631	RECOGNIZED	94	42	79 ■■■■■	+	LOW	★★★★★
SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE IN EDUCATION (C)	BEXAR	2,085	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	62	32	47 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	★★★★★
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (C)	BEXAR	694	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	39	68	54 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	★★★★★
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY CORPUS CHRISTI (C)	NUECES	314	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	**
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DISCOVERY (C)	BEXAR	345	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	**
SCHULENBURG ISD	FAYETTE	739	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	58	46	53 ■■■■■	+	LOW	★★★★★
SCURRY-ROSSER ISD	KAUFMAN	898	RECOGNIZED	82	30	60 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	★★★★★
SEAGRAVES ISD	GAINES	606	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	40	36	37 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	★★★★★
SEALY ISD	AUSTIN	2,609	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	64	51	59 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	★★★★★
SEASHORE LEARNING CENTER CHARTER (C)	NUECES	204	EXEMPLARY	18	55	31 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	★★★★★
SEASHORE MIDDLE ACADEMY (C)	NUECES	141	EXEMPLARY	71	86	84 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	★★★★★
SEGUIN ISD	GUADALUPE	7,529	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	32	11	16 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	★★★★★
SEMINOLE ISD	GAINES	2,377	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	68	76	76 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	★★★★★
SER-NINOS CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	622	RECOGNIZED	97	98	98 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	★★★★★
SEYMOUR ISD	BAYLOR	580	RECOGNIZED	89	61	81 ■■■■■	+	LOW	★★★★★
SHALLOWATER ISD	LUBBOCK	1,457	RECOGNIZED	70	88	85 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	★★★★★
SHAMROCK ISD	WHEELER	321	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	5	22	8 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	★★★★★
SHARYLAND ISD	HIDALGO	9,538	RECOGNIZED	94	94	96 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	★★★★★
SHEKINAH RADIANCE ACADEMY (C)	BEXAR	1,125	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	AVERAGE	**
SHELBYVILLE ISD	SHELBY	751	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	65	6	22 ■■■■■	+	LOW	★★★★★
SHELDON ISD	HARRIS	6,525	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	45	21	30 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	★★★★★
SHEPHERD ISD	SAN JACINTO	1,916	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	32	8	13 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	★★★★★
SHERMAN ISD	GRAYSON	6,706	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	50	72	62 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	★★★★★
SHINER ISD	LAVACA	552	RECOGNIZED	88	48	75 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	★★★★★
SIDNEY ISD	COMANCHE	122	RECOGNIZED	60	85	78 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	★★★★★
SIERRA BLANCA ISD	HUDSPETH	166	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	14	86	49 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	★★★★★
SILSBEE ISD	HARDIN	2,870	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	51	14	27 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	★★★★★
SILVERTON ISD	BRISCOE	163	RECOGNIZED	82	57	74 ■■■■■	+	LOW	★★★★★
SIMMS ISD	BOWIE	578	RECOGNIZED	15	42	24 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	★★★★★
SINTON ISD	SAN PATRICIO	2,108	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	36	24	28 ■■■■■	+	LOW	★★★★★

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

\*\* Insufficient data

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
SIVELLS BEND ISD	COOKE	70	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	3	26	7 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SKIDMORE-TYNAN ISD	BEE	820	EXEMPLARY	60	98	93 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
SLATON ISD	LUBBOCK	1,281	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	19	11	11 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SLIDELL ISD	WISE	243	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	85	81	88 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SLOCUM ISD	ANDERSON	410	EXEMPLARY	36	39	36 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
SMITHVILLE ISD	BASTROP	1,704	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	51	27	38 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
SMYER ISD	HOCKLEY	369	RECOGNIZED	41	32	35 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SNOOK ISD	BURLESON	515	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	64	6	21 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SNYDER ISD	SCURRY	2,715	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	7	20	9 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★☆☆
SOCORRO ISD	EL PASO	41,060	RECOGNIZED	93	93	95 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SOMERSET ISD	BEXAR	3,706	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	16	13	11 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SOMERVILLE ISD	BURLESON	460	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	12	1	2 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SONORA ISD	SUTTON	932	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	36	23	27 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SOUTH PLAINS (C)	LUBBOCK	181	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY HIGH	=	**
SOUTH SAN ANTONIO ISD	BEXAR	9,962	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	21	30	24 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SOUTH TEXAS ISD	CAMERON	3,022	EXEMPLARY	90	88	93 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SOUTHLAND ISD	GARZA	158	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	25	77	52 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SOUTHSIDE ISD	BEXAR	5,192	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	50	69	60 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SOUTHWEST ISD	BEXAR	11,455	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	37	80	61 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SOUTHWEST PREPARATORY SCHOOL (C)	BEXAR	805	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	LOW	=	**
SOUTHWEST SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	3,594	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	1	7	1 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
SPEARMAN ISD	HANSFORD	807	RECOGNIZED	87	76	86 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SPLENDORA ISD	MONTGOMERY	3,377	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	41	18	25 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
SPRING BRANCH ISD	HARRIS	32,415	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	82	79	84 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SPRING CREEK ISD	HUTCHINSON	104	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	89	49	76 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SPRING HILL ISD	GREGG	1,843	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	56	80	72 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
SPRING ISD	HARRIS	35,276	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	24	58	38 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
SPRINGLAKE-EARTH ISD	LAMB	423	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	25	33	28 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SPRINGTOWN ISD	PARKER	3,503	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	25	6	9 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
SPUR ISD	DICKENS	310	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	12	52	25 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
SPURGER ISD	TYLER	359	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	1	37	5 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
ST ANTHONY SCHOOL (C)	DALLAS	288	RECOGNIZED	57	52	56 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
ST MARY'S ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	BEE	333	EXEMPLARY	98	81	98 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
STAFFORD MSD	FORT BEND	3,136	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	36	79	60 ■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
STAMFORD ISD	JONES	641	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	91	33	71 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS			
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	= *FAST RATING
STANTON ISD	MARTIN	781	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	29	9	13 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★☆☆☆
STAR CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	TRAVIS	304	EXEMPLARY	91	80	90 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
STAR ISD	MILLS	77	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	38	59	48 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
STEPHEN F AUSTIN STATE UNIVERSITY CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	NACOGDOCHES	221	RECOGNIZED	**	**	**	+	**	= **
STEPHENVILLE ISD	ERATH	3,544	RECOGNIZED	55	27	40 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
STEPPING STONES CHARTER ELEMENTARY (C)	HARRIS	203	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	60	46	55 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★☆☆☆
STERLING CITY ISD	STERLING	199	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	33	39	34 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
STOCKDALE ISD	WILSON	806	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	23	53	35 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★☆☆☆
STRATFORD ISD	SHERMAN	595	RECOGNIZED	78	54	70 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
STRAWN ISD	PALO PINTO	178	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	98	15	78 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
SUDAN ISD	LAMB	405	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	24	66	43 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★☆☆☆
SULPHUR BLUFF ISD	HOPKINS	233	RECOGNIZED	20	29	22 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★☆☆☆
SULPHUR SPRINGS ISD	HOPKINS	4,121	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	22	35	26 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
SUMMIT INTERNATIONAL PREPARATORY (C)	TARRANT	590	EXEMPLARY	**	**	**	+	**	= **
SUNDOWN ISD	HOCKLEY	686	EXEMPLARY	96	39	83 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
SUNNYVALE ISD	DALLAS	1,009	EXEMPLARY	95	90	96 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
SUNRAY ISD	MOORE	528	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	52	72	63 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
SWEENEY ISD	BRAZORIA	1,934	RECOGNIZED	89	12	49 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
SWEET HOME ISD	LAVACA	120	RECOGNIZED	46	73	61 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
SWEETWATER ISD	NOLAN	2,278	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	25	64	43 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
TAFT ISD	SAN PATRICIO	1,143	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	11	11	8 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
TAHOKA ISD	LYNN	640	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	83	47	70 ■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	= ★★☆☆☆
TARKINGTON ISD	LIBERTY	1,979	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	12	16	10 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★☆☆☆
TATUM ISD	RUSK	1,505	RECOGNIZED	38	60	49 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
TAYLOR ISD	WILLIAMSON	3,116	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	56	13	28 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
TEAGUE ISD	FREESTONE	1,218	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	16	8	8 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★☆☆☆
TECHNOLOGY EDUCATION CHARTER HIGH (C)	HIDALGO	620	RECOGNIZED	20	84	54 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★
TEKOA ACADEMY OF ACCELERATED STUDIES (C)	JEFFERSON	421	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	95	1	12 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★☆☆☆
TEMPLE EDUCATION CENTER (C)	BELL	179	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	= **
TEMPLE ISD	BELL	8,659	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	35	9	15 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
TENAHA ISD	SHELBY	470	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	86	25	59 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★★★★
TERLINGUA CSD	BREWSTER	123	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	34	54	43 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
TERRELL COUNTY ISD	TERRELL	166	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	35	85	66 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
TERRELL ISD	KAUFMAN	4,176	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	9	43	19 ■■■■■	+	LOW	= ★★☆☆☆
TEXARKANA ISD	BOWIE	6,848	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	39	50	45 ■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
TEXAS CITY ISD	GALVESTON	5,840	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	25	12	15 ■■■■■	+	HIGH	= ★★☆☆☆
TEXAS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL OF THE ARTS (C)	TARRANT	155	RECOGNIZED	22	42	29 ■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	= ★★★★★

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■

\*\* Insufficient data

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
TEXAS EMPOWERMENT ACADEMY (C)	TRAVIS	227	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	65	55	62 ■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
TEXAS LEADERSHIP CHARTER ACADEMY (C)	TOM GREEN	633	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
TEXAS PREPARATORY SCHOOL (C)	HAYS	105	EXEMPLARY	95	46	82 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
TEXAS SERENITY ACADEMY (C)	MONTGOMERY	390	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	LOW	=	**
TEXHOMA ISD	SHERMAN	373	RECOGNIZED	12	20	12 ■□□□□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
TEXLINE ISD	DALLAM	168	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	35	61	47 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
THE EAST AUSTIN COLLEGE PREP ACADEMY (C)	TRAVIS	88	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
THE EDUCATION CENTER (C)	DENTON	765	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	1	14	1 ■□□□□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
THE LEGENDS ACADEMY (C)	DENTON	33	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
THE RHODES SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	298	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	HIGH	=	**
THE SCHOOL OF LIBERAL ARTS AND SCIENCE (C)	DALLAS	714	N/A	23	57	37 ■■□□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
THE VARNETT PUBLIC SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	1,552	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	99	99	99 ■■■■□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
THORNDALE ISD	MILAM	546	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	30	6	10 ■□□□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
THRALL ISD	WILLIAMSON	644	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	55	40	48 ■■■■□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
THREE RIVERS ISD	LIVE OAK	631	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	55	59	58 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
THREE WAY ISD	ERATH	57	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	63	35	50 ■■■■□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
THROCKMORTON ISD	THROCKMORTON	205	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	51	54	53 ■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
TIDEHAVEN ISD	MATAGORDA	808	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	66	83	79 ■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
TIMPSON ISD	SHELBY	619	RECOGNIZED	72	25	49 ■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
TIOGA ISD	GRAYSON	157	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	92	64	85 ■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
TOLAR ISD	HOOD	610	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	72	71	75 ■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
TOM BEAN ISD	GRAYSON	795	RECOGNIZED	78	71	78 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
TOMBALL ISD	HARRIS	10,212	RECOGNIZED	76	78	81 ■■■■□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
TORNILLO ISD	EL PASO	1,310	RECOGNIZED	97	15	71 ■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
TRANSFORMATIVE CHARTER ACADEMY (C)	BELL	80	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	HIGH	=	**
TREETOPS SCHOOL INTERNATIONAL (C)	TARRANT	362	RECOGNIZED	2	60	12 ■□□□□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
TRENT ISD	TAYLOR	198	RECOGNIZED	68	46	59 ■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
TRENTON ISD	FANNIN	574	RECOGNIZED	19	57	34 ■■□□□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
TRINIDAD ISD	HENDERSON	189	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	2	76	18 ■□□□□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★☆☆☆☆
TRINITY BASIN PREPARATORY (C)	DALLAS	618	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	63	28	45 ■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
TRINITY CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	COMAL	277	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
TRINITY ISD	TRINITY	1,192	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	5	1	1 ■□□□□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
TROUP ISD	SMITH	1,038	RECOGNIZED	61	42	53 ■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
TROY ISD	BELL	1,271	RECOGNIZED	48	53	51 ■■■■□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
TULIA ISD	SWISHER	1,025	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	66	45	58 ■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
TULOSO-MIDWAY ISD	NUECES	3,408	RECOGNIZED	74	44	61 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
TURKEY-QUITAQUE ISD	HALL	206	RECOGNIZED	96	78	94 ■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■□ 60-79 ■■■■□ 40-59 ■■■■□ 20-39 ■■■■□ LESS THAN 20 ■□□□□

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
TWO DIMENSIONS PREPARATORY ACADEMY (C)	HARRIS	428	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	91	88	94 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
TYLER ISD	SMITH	18,344	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	28	68	48 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
UNION GROVE ISD	UPSHUR	736	RECOGNIZED	14	75	39 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
UNION HILL ISD	UPSHUR	299	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	40	73	57 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
UNITED ISD	WEBB	40,733	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	68	21	44 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
UNIVERSAL ACADEMY (C)	DALLAS	1,396	RECOGNIZED	77	47	66 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	133	RECOGNIZED	5	69	22 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS ELEMENTARY CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	TRAVIS	256	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	98	26	89 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS UNIVERSITY CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	TRAVIS	907	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
UTOPIA ISD	UVALDE	217	RECOGNIZED	49	65	59 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
UVALDE CISD	UVALDE	4,971	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	6	4	3 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
VALENTINE ISD	JEFF DAVIS	48	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	51	79	69 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
VALLEY MILLS ISD	BOSQUE	627	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	34	36	34 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
VALLEY VIEW ISD	COOKE	641	RECOGNIZED	58	71	67 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
VALLEY VIEW ISD	HIDALGO	4,626	RECOGNIZED	92	97	97 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
VAN ALSTYNE ISD	GRAYSON	1,359	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	45	89	75 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
VAN ISD	VAN ZANDT	2,297	RECOGNIZED	52	66	60 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
VAN VLECK ISD	MATAGORDA	973	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	52	59	57 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
VANGUARD ACADEMY (C)	HIDALGO	860	RECOGNIZED	81	98	96 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
VEGA ISD	OLDHAM	289	RECOGNIZED	71	56	66 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
VENUS ISD	JOHNSON	1,854	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	47	12	23 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
VERIBEST ISD	TOM GREEN	258	RECOGNIZED	70	73	75 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
VERNON ISD	WILBARGER	2,275	RECOGNIZED	39	11	18 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
VICTORIA ISD	VICTORIA	13,680	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	26	24	23 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
VIDOR ISD	ORANGE	4,935	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	43	36	39 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
VYSEHRAD ISD	LAVACA	95	EXEMPLARY	76	42	62 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
WACO CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	MCLENNAN	182	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	65	6	22 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WACO ISD	MCLENNAN	15,254	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	18	17	14 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
WAEDELDER ISD	GONZALES	263	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	13	19	13 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
WALCOTT ISD	DEAF SMITH	138	EXEMPLARY	71	50	63 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WALL ISD	TOM GREEN	1,038	RECOGNIZED	89	83	91 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
WALLER ISD	WALLER	5,377	RECOGNIZED	63	35	50 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
WALNUT BEND ISD	COOKE	68	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	HIGH	=	**
WALNUT SPRINGS ISD	BOSQUE	212	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	33	45	38 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
WARREN ISD	TYLER	1,219	RECOGNIZED	56	8	20 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
WASKOM ISD	HARRISON	790	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	30	17	19 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
WATER VALLEY ISD	TOM GREEN	339	RECOGNIZED	97	63	92 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★★
WAXAHACHIE FAITH FAMILY ACADEMY (C)	ELLIS	260	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	14	27	17 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
WAXAHACHIE ISD	ELLIS	6,908	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	70	43	59 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■■

\*\* Insufficient data



DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
WEATHERFORD ISD	PARKER	7,505	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	50	77	67 ■■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
WEBB CISD	WEBB	350	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	82	85	89 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WEIMAR ISD	COLORADO	596	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	93	82	93 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
WELLINGTON ISD	COLLINGSWORTH	548	RECOGNIZED	67	43	57 ■■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
WELLMAN-UNION CISD	TERRY	212	RECOGNIZED	59	91	82 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
WELLS ISD	CHEROKEE	316	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	59	29	45 ■■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
WESLACO ISD	HIDALGO	17,208	RECOGNIZED	80	13	42 ■■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
WEST HARDIN COUNTY CISD	HARDIN	596	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	11	64	29 ■■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WEST HOUSTON CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	HARRIS	307	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	1	22	3 ■■■■■□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
WEST ISD	MCLENNAN	1,550	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	61	43	54 ■■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WEST ORANGE-COVE CISD	ORANGE	2,545	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	3	19	5 ■■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
WEST OSO ISD	NUECES	2,083	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	20	57	35 ■■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
WEST RUSK ISD	RUSK	851	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	7	24	10 ■■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
WEST SABINE ISD	SABINE	637	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	7	41	16 ■■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
WESTBROOK ISD	MITCHELL	237	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	11	48	23 ■■■■■□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WESTHOFF ISD	DEWITT	42	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	14	43	24 ■■■■■□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WESTLAKE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL (C)	TARRANT	480	EXEMPLARY	68	88	85 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★★
WESTPHALIA ISD	FALLS	148	RECOGNIZED	90	72	87 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WESTWOOD ISD	ANDERSON	1,695	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	84	65	79 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
WHARTON ISD	WHARTON	2,218	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	15	6	7 ■■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WHEELER ISD	WHEELER	397	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	27	26	24 ■■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
WHITE DEER ISD	CARSON	385	RECOGNIZED	45	19	29 ■■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
WHITE OAK ISD	GREGG	1,391	RECOGNIZED	78	74	79 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
WHITE SETTLEMENT ISD	TARRANT	5,906	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	20	45	29 ■■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
WHITEFACE CISD	COCHRAN	310	RECOGNIZED	92	82	92 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WHITEHOUSE ISD	SMITH	4,595	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	81	62	75 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
WHITESBORO ISD	GRAYSON	1,552	RECOGNIZED	13	78	41 ■■■■■□	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
WHITEWRIGHT ISD	GRAYSON	770	RECOGNIZED	75	37	58 ■■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WHITHARRAL ISD	HOCKLEY	180	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	65	85	80 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WHITNEY ISD	HILL	1,572	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	37	30	32 ■■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WICHITA FALLS ISD	WICHITA	14,525	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	55	47	52 ■■■■■□	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WILDORADO ISD	OLDHAM	99	EXEMPLARY	62	69	68 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WILLIAMS PREPARATORY (C)	DALLAS	653	EXEMPLARY	**	**	**	+	**	=	**
WILLIS ISD	MONTGOMERY	6,263	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	48	16	28 ■■■■■□	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★☆
WILLS POINT ISD	VAN ZANDT	2,671	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	9	31	14 ■■■■■□	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
WILSON ISD	LYNN	150	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	51	31	40 ■■■■■□	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index; districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

\*\* Insufficient data

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■□ 40-59 ■■■■■□ 20-39 ■■■■■□ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■□

DISTRICT NAME	COUNTY	ENROLLMENT	2010-2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATING	MATH PROGRESS PERCENTILE	READING PROGRESS PERCENTILE	FAST COMPONENTS				
						COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE	+	SPENDING INDEX	=	*FAST RATING
WIMBERLEY ISD	HAYS	1,998	RECOGNIZED	70	85	83 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
WINDTHORST ISD	ARCHER	490	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	94	66	89 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
WINFIELD ISD	TITUS	165	ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE	77	71	78 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WINFREE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOLS (C)	DALLAS	1,861	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	**	**	**	+	VERY LOW	=	**
WINK-LOVING ISD	WINKLER	330	RECOGNIZED	86	39	68 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WINNSBORO ISD	WOOD	1,410	RECOGNIZED	59	41	51 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
WINONA ISD	SMITH	1,037	RECOGNIZED	76	79	81 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
WINTERS ISD	RUNNELS	632	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	27	25	24 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
WODEN ISD	NACOGDOCHES	814	RECOGNIZED	78	85	87 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
WOLFE CITY ISD	HUNT	640	RECOGNIZED	56	69	64 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
WOODSBORO ISD	REFUGIO	534	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	4	67	19 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WOODSON ISD	THROCKMORTON	117	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	55	50	55 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WOODVILLE ISD	TYLER	1,303	RECOGNIZED	44	56	50 ■■■■■■	+	HIGH	=	★★★★☆
WORTHAM ISD	FREESTONE	504	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	69	20	43 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
WYLIE ISD	COLLIN	12,012	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	79	60	73 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
WYLIE ISD	TAYLOR	3,277	RECOGNIZED	96	76	93 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
YANTIS ISD	WOOD	383	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	8	97	59 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
YES PREPARATORY PUBLIC SCHOOLS (C)	HARRIS	3,374	EXEMPLARY	99	99	99 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★★
YOAKUM ISD	DEWITT	1,539	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	43	11	20 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
YORKTOWN ISD	DEWITT	534	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	21	32	24 ■■■■■■	+	AVERAGE	=	★★★★☆
YSLETA ISD	EL PASO	44,311	RECOGNIZED	74	87	87 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
ZAPATA COUNTY ISD	ZAPATA	3,738	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	49	4	14 ■■■■■■	+	VERY HIGH	=	★★★★☆
ZAVALLA ISD	ANGELINA	480	RECOGNIZED	48	88	76 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★
ZEPHYR ISD	BROWN	211	ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE	39	71	56 ■■■■■■	+	LOW	=	★★★★☆
ZOE LEARNING ACADEMY (C)	HARRIS	553	RECOGNIZED	98	30	88 ■■■■■■	+	VERY LOW	=	★★★★★

\*The FAST Rating is an average of the composite academic progress percentile and the spending index;  
districts with the lowest spending relative to similar districts and the most academic progress receive the highest scores.

COMPOSITE PROGRESS PERCENTILE: 80-99 ■■■■■■ 60-79 ■■■■■■ 40-59 ■■■■■■ 20-39 ■■■■■■ LESS THAN 20 ■■■■■■

\*\* Insufficient data



**T**hese are the 2011 Financial Allocation Study for  
Texas (FAST) school district ratings.  
The Campus Listings and online FAST tool  
can be found at [www.FASTexas.org](http://www.FASTexas.org).

Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts  
Research and Analysis Division  
P.O. Box 13528  
Austin, Texas 78711-3528

Publication #96-1450 • Printed December 2011